**Risk Assessment Tool**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date:** |  |
| **Form Completed By:** |  |
| **Diocese/Cathedral:** |  |
| **Participants (Including Role):** |  |
| **Case Identifier:** |  |

# Scoring System (0-2)

* 0 indicates “No”.
* 1 indicates “Partially”.
* 2 indicates “Yes”.
* “N/A” Used for those that are not applicable.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Practice Guidance:** [**Responding to, assessing, and managing concerns or allegations against church officers (2017)**](https://www.churchofengland.org/sites/default/files/2017-11/responding-to-assessing-and-managing-concerns-or-allegations-against-church-officers.pdf)**; Chapter 5** | **Score** | **Comments** |
| 1. The risk in this case was appropriately identified and quickly responded to.
 |  |  |
| 1. Collaborative discussions took place to help identify the role of the safeguarding team, as well as the role of HR or other agencies in respect of the concern.
 |  |  |
| 1. Statutory partners were consulted, and information sharing took place (where appropriate).
 |  |  |
| 1. Case notes show the basis for risk assessment, and type of risk assessment. *(If deviating from assessment recommended in PG, rationale for this is outlined)*
 |  |  |
| 1. Support and Link Persons have been offered for both victim/survivor and respondent.
 |  |  |
| 1. Respondent has received written information on the nature and form of the risk assessment and management processes.
 |  |  |
| **Standard Risk Assessment (SRA):**1. The SRA has been completed in line with expectations outlined in Chapter 5.
 |  |  |
| 1. The SRA has been completed in line with expectations outlined in Chapter 5.
 |  |  |
| 1. Information was gathered from all relevant sources, including statutory partners to inform risk assessment.
 |  |  |
| 1. The assessment is focused on and describes risk in the church context.
 |  |  |
| 1. The risk is analysed rather than described.
 |  |  |
| 1. There is a clear statement of risk that is evidence based.
 |  |  |
| 1. The SRA has been shared appropriately, as outlined in chapter 5.
 |  |  |
| **Independent Risk Assessment (IRA):**1. The IRA has been completed in line with expectations outlined Chapter 5.
 |  |  |
| 1. The Terms of Reference focus the IRA on what risk is present within a church context.
 |  |  |
| 1. The final assessment is focused on and describes risk in the church context.
 |  |  |
| 1. The IRA is of a sufficient standard that it would assist the Bishop and Core Group to make a recommendation.
 |  |  |
| 1. Is there evidence that the IRA or the IRA recommendations have been shared with the relevant parties as outlined in Chapter 5.
 |  |  |
| 1. The Terms of Reference focus the IRA on what risk is present within a church context.
 |  |  |
| 1. The final assessment is focused on and describes risk in the church context.
 |  |  |
| 1. The IRA is of a sufficient standard that it would assist the Bishop and Core Group to make a recommendation.
 |  |  |
| 1. Is there evidence that the IRA or the IRA recommendations have been shared with the relevant parties as outlined in Chapter 5.
 |  |  |
| 1. Victim/survivor has been kept informed of process and outcome (as agreed at start of engagement).
 |  |  |
| 1. Formal apology has been considered, and where appropriate, sent to victim/survivor.
 |  |  |
| 1. The risk assessment outcome was shared with statutory partners (where applicable)
 |  |  |
| 1. Supervisory input has been sought in timely manner (where applicable), and that evidence of consultation is recorded on the case record.
 |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **General Discussion and Learning Points**  |
| *(Please outline where this feedback will be shared, and how it will be incorporated into the Diocesan/Cathedral learning and improvement framework)* |

|  |
| --- |
| **Areas of Good Practice** |
| *(Could the good practice identified in this case be shared with others as part of a national learning and improvement framework?)* |