GENERAL SYNOD

FEBRUARY GROUP OF SESSIONS 2022

FOURTH NOTICE PAPER

ITEMS 8 AND 14

QUESTIONS

The Chair has agreed that due to availability of those responding to questions, the session on Tuesday 5.30pm to 7pm will follow the subsequent order:

- Questions 1 6 Mission and Public Affairs Council, answered by Mark Sheard
- Questions 7 8 Business Committee, answered by Robert Hammond
- Questions 79 87 House of Bishops, answered by Bishop of London
- Questions 30 40 Archbishops' Council, answered by Bishop of St Edmundsbury & Ipswich
- Questions 61 63 House of Bishops, answered by Bishop of St Edmundsbury & Ipswich
- Questions 136 147 Ministry Council, answered by Bishop of St Edmundsbury & Ipswich

MOTIONS AND AMENDMENTS

Amendments will subsequently be marshalled, in the order in which they are to be taken, on the relevant Order Paper.

ITEM 9

SAFEGUARDING (GS 2244)

Mr Gavin Drake (Southwell & Nottingham), pursuant to SO 107(4)(b), to move a further motion arising out of item 9 as follows:

'That this Synod express its disapproval of the Safeguarding report GS2244 for the following reasons:

- a. The report persists in referring to "Vulnerable" persons a terminology which has been deemed to be derogatory and restrictive to the understanding of its applicability which was replaced by the term "Adults At Risk of Abuse or Neglect" under the Care Act 2014 in recognition of the dynamic nature of the Risk of Abuse in relation to individuals.
- b. The report makes no reference to the creation of Key Performance Indicators for the operation of the National Safeguarding Team (NST) in order to address the very many real and valid concerns that have been raised about its focus, effectiveness and method of operating. For a Team comprising 26.5 FTE's which includes consultants, the absence of such Key Performance Indicators represents a substantial weakness in the management of a resource which represents a considerable ongoing financial commitment.
- c. It does not provide any detail which would enable the Synod to form a view about the NST's effectiveness in making the Church of England a safe place for its entire worshipping community and for those who work for it in a remunerated or voluntary basis.
- d. The report shows a praiseworthy focus on sexual and spiritual abuse, but it makes no mention of bullying in the Church which is widely acknowledged to be a serious issue within churches, nor does it propose how and how bullying can be addressed.

- e. It demonstrates a piece-meal approach to safeguarding development by the NST rather than the wholesale reform that is needed.
- f. The report does not address the concerns raised in paragraph 8(f) of the first report of the Independent Safeguarding Board, which is attached as an appendix to GS 2244 – concerns that have been raised both privately and publicly with and about the NST by many people over recent years.
- g. Given that the ISB report states in its paragraph 6 that "It [The Board] does not have powers to sanction, direct, regulate, inspect or insist" there is no provision within the safeguarding arrangements for any independent external scrutiny with powers to intervene in cases where negligence, misconduct or performance failures are alleged or identified; nor does it indicate how the NST and the national safeguarding functions of the Church of England can intervene in cases where bishops and dioceses are not following good safeguarding practice or following the codes of practice or guidance.

This Synod therefore call for a full independent assessment of the work and performance reporting of the NST and the myriad national safeguarding bodies of the Church of England; for this evaluation to be published in full; and for a debate on its contents at a future Group of Sessions to enable the Synod to be fully engaged in the decisions about the future direction and shape of the Church of England's safeguarding work.'

ITEM 500

FACULTY JURISDICTION (AMENDMENT) RULES 2022 (GS 2245)

The Revd Marcus Walker (London) to move the following amendments:

'Leave out rule 2.'

Explanatory statement: this amendment would remove the requirement for a person proposing to undertake work which comes within the net zero guidance issued by the Church Buildings Council to have due regard to that guidance in formulating the proposal."

'Leave out rule 6.'

Explanatory statement: this amendment is consequential on the amendment to leave out rule 2."

'In the Schedule, in paragraph 5, in sub-paragraph (1), *leave* out paragraph (b) to (d).

In the Schedule, in paragraph 5, in sub-paragraph (1), *leave out* paragraph (f).

In the Schedule, in paragraph 5, *leave out* sub-paragraph (2) and (3).'

Explanatory statement: these amendments would remove the amendments relating to the replacement of boilers and would accordingly ensure that a proposal to replace a boiler using the existing fuel supply will remain on List A and a proposal to replace a boiler using a different fuel supply will remain on List B. The amendments would retain the proposed inclusion in List A of the replacement of a flue liner.

The Venerable Luke Irvine-Capel (Chichester) to move as an amendment:

- 'In the Schedule, in paragraph 5, leave out sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) and insert—
- "(1) In matter A1 in List A (church building etc.), leave out paragraph (7) (replacement of boiler utilising existing fuel supply).
- (2) In matter B1 in List B (church building etc.), for the text in the first column (including the text in parentheses) substitute—

"The replacement of a boiler—

- a. whether in the same or substantially the same location,
- b. whether utilising an existing fuel supply or a different fuel supply, and
- c. whether with existing or similar pipe runs.".".

Explanatory statement: this amendment would provide that any proposal to replace a boiler would be included in List B and therefore subject to consultation with the archdeacon. It would not be necessary to seek a petition for any such proposal."

The Ven Fiona Gibson (Hereford) to move as an amendment:

'In the Schedule, after paragraph 14 insert—

"Broadband equipment

14A.—(1) In matter B1 in List B (church buildings etc.), after paragraph (11) insert—

"(11A) The installation of equipment for receiving, or for receiving and sharing, wireless and broadband services The equipment does not adversely affect the church's protection against lightning Any cable runs are secured so as to minimise the risk that they become loose

Details of the equipment, its proposed location and the location and securing of any cable runs are submitted to the archdeacon when the archdeacon is consulted on the proposal to undertake the matter

In the formulation of those details, regard is had to the desirability of avoiding loss of or damage to historic material. The diocesan registrar is consulted on the terms of any proposed contract for the sharing of the wireless broadband services".

- (2) In matter B5 in List B (church halls etc.), after paragraph (4) (inserted by paragraph 10(2)) insert—
 - "(5) The installation of equipment for receiving, or for receiving and sharing, wireless broadband services

The equipment does not adversely affect the building's protection against lightning Any cable runs are secured so as to minimise the risk that they become loose

Details of the equipment, its proposed location and the location and securing of any cable runs are submitted to the archdeacon when the archdeacon is consulted on the proposal to undertake the matter In the formulation of those details, regard is had to the desirability of avoiding loss of or damage to historic material The diocesan registrar is consulted on the terms of

any proposed contract for the sharing of the wireless broadband services".".

Explanatory statement: this amendment would include in List B a proposal to install on the exterior of a church building, or of a church hall or similar building, equipment for receiving (and, if desired, sharing) wireless broadband services. The proposal would be subject to conditions, including providing the archdeacon with details of the proposed installation and having regard to the desirability of avoiding loss or damage to material which is of historic or architectural significance."

ERRATUM

FACULTY JURISDICTION (AMENDMENT) RULES 2022 (GS 2245)

Page 8, paragraph 12 of the Schedule:

The following condition,

"No cables exceed 1000 volt rating"

should read:

"No cables exceed low voltage (as defined by the regulations on electrical wiring published by the British Standards Institution)".

ITEM 10

SPECIAL AGENDA IV DIOCESAN SYNOD MOTIONS CHALLENGING SLAVERY AND HUMAN TRAFFICKING

The Ven Alastair Cutting (Leeds) to move as an amendment:

'Leave out paragraph (b) and insert -

- (b) encourage all dioceses, deaneries, and parishes in the Church of England to raise awareness of modern slavery in our communities in the UK and internationally, working with the resources from partners such as the Church of England's Clewer Initiative and others.
- (c) encourage equipping individuals and congregations to address this evil by calling on dioceses and Theological Education Institutions to embed modern slavery training at all levels including IME, CME and safeguarding training; and building on this resourcing, to
 - i. offer services to victims and survivors
 - seek to identifying potential victims of modern slavery in the community, including through activities hosted on church property (particularly in social action projects), and
 - iii. support organisations which already provide services to help people leaving exploitation and/or with preventative work;
- (d) ask that the issues of challenging human trafficking and modern slavery are raised at the global gathering of bishops of the Anglican Communion at the 2022 Lambeth Conference, and that the conference consider the international Church's role in tackling injustice and violence around the world and address the factors which create vulnerability to exploitation; and
- (e) call on all individuals to pray regularly for victims and survivors of modern slavery and for those organisations working to help and support them both in the UK and overseas, that we may come closer to fulfilling Jesus' injunction to 'proclaim release to the captives'.

ITEM 13

GOD'S PEOPLE SET FREE: LIVING AS MISSIONARY DISCIPLES OF JESUS CHRIST IN THE WHOLE OF LIFE TO BRING TRANSFORMATION TO THE CHURCH AND THE WORLD (GS 2248)

Mr Adrian Greenwood (Southwark), pursuant to SO 105(6), to move a further motion arising out of item 13 as follows:

'That this Synod, recognising the vital importance of the Setting God's People Free Report, 2017 (GS 2056) - 'the Report' - and thanking God for all those involved in the work of implementation carried out to date, as summarised in GS 2248, commit itself, in the power of the Holy Spirit, to work and pray for the continued implementation of the culture changes sought in the Report as a high priority within the Church's vision and strategy for the 2020s.'

ITEM 15

REPORT BY THE GOVERNANCE REVIEW GROUP

Miss Prudence Dailey (Oxford) to move as an amendment:

'After paragraph (b) insert -

(c) Request that any proposal for the establishment of a Nominations Committee be withdrawn;'

The Revd Marcus Walker (London) to move as amendments:

- 'Leave out paragraph (a) and insert -
- () thank the Governance Review Group for its work

Leave out paragraph (c) and insert -

() invite the Archbishops' Council to return to Synod once all the Emerging Church groups have reported and the consultation processes have been completed, to present Synod with a comprehensive and coherent proposal for change.'