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ELEVENTH NOTICE PAPER

ERRATA

There were some errors in the Questions Notice Paper which was circulated to members on 6 July. These are outlined below. Please note that a revised Questions Notice Paper has been published on the Synod website.

**Question 13 (Mrs Tina Nay, Chichester)** will be answered by the Bishop of Truro on behalf of the House of Bishops.

**Question 14** should be as follows:

The Revd Mark Wallace (Chelmsford) to ask the Chair of the House of Bishops:

It was announced in 2021 that the House of Bishops would be establishing a Standing Commission to monitor the way the Five Guiding Principles are being applied in the Church. Can any more now be said about the plans for its work?

Bishop of Lichfield to reply:

Since this question was submitted, the House of Bishops has announced the membership of the Standing Commission. The press release can be found here: [Standing Commission on the House of Bishops’ Declaration and the Five Guiding Principles](https://www.thechurchofengland.org.uk/). The Standing Commission is due to hold its first meeting during the July Synod and will follow that with a second meeting in the late summer/early autumn 2022 to agree the aims for its first year.
Question 42 (Mr Richard Brown, Chelmsford) should have the following answer:

The Bishop of Bristol to reply:

A The rainbow is a powerful symbol of hope within the Judeo-Christian tradition. As such, it is used in a number of ‘secular’ contexts: to symbolise support and gratitude for the NHS; to herald the post-apartheid era of the Republic of South Africa as a ‘rainbow nation’; as well as a symbol of support for the gay pride movement. Given its multivalence, the House of Bishops does not have any plans at present to take steps to discourage the displaying of the rainbow on cathedrals and church buildings.

Question 63 (The Revd Robert Thompson, London) should have the following answer:

There is no national guidance offered to dioceses to advise on interpretation of Issues in Human Sexuality in relation to Lay Ministries, including LLM.

Question 64 (The Revd Robert Thompson, London) should have the following answer:

As with the answer to question 63, there is no national guidance offered to dioceses to advise on interpretation of Issues in Human Sexuality in relation to Lay Ministries, including House Group Leaders. Decisions are taken locally at parochial level.

Question 88 (The Revd Dr Sara Batts-Neale, Chelmsford) has been withdrawn. Please note that this has been recorded incorrectly on the Notice Paper as Question 99.
MOTIONS AND AMENDMENTS

Amendments will subsequently be marshalled, in the order in which they are to be taken, on the relevant Order Paper.

ITEM 31
REVIEW OF QUALIFICATIONS FOR PCC MEMBERSHIP AND ENTRY ON THE CHURCH ELECTORAL ROLL (GS 2254A AND GS 2254B)
This will now be moved by Mr David Kemp on behalf of the Diocese of Canterbury

ITEM 5
ROUTEMAP TO NET ZERO CARBON BY 2030 (GS 2258)

Mr Clive Scowen (London) to move the following amendments:

‘In paragraph (a) before “endorse”, insert “generally”.’

‘In paragraph (b) leave out “structures” and insert “buildings and operations”.’

ITEM 6
WAR IN UKRAINE (GS 2259)

Mr Clive Scowen (London) to move the following amendments:

‘In paragraph (b) after “ended” insert “justly”.’

‘In paragraph (d) leave out “negotiated” and insert “just”.’

The Revd Jack Shepherd (Liverpool) to move as an amendment:

‘In paragraph (c) leave out from “each diocese” to “other conflicts” and insert “each diocese and each parish to work
towards providing long term refuge and hospitality to refugees from Ukraine and other conflicts and forms of danger”.'

ITEMS 8 – 12

SEE OF CANTERBURY CROWN NOMINATIONS COMMISSION (GS 2260)

The Reverend Canon Andrew Cornes (Chichester) to move as an amendment:

‘At the end insert “and that a majority of them must be of Global Majority Heritage”.’

Mr Nic Tall (Bath & Wells) to move as an amendment:

‘In Item 11, after “actual communicant” insert “and that at least half rounded down shall be male and at least half rounded down shall be female”.’

The Revd Che Seabourne (Leeds) to move as an amendment:

‘In Item 9 leave out “five” and insert “six”.’

‘In Item 10, leave out from “five regions” to the end and insert “following four regions of the Anglican Communion: Americas, Middle East and Asia, Oceania, and Europe (the Europe region to include the provinces of the British Isles other than England); and that two such representatives are to be chosen by that Committee from the Africa region”.’
ITEM 31

REVIEW OF QUALIFICATIONS FOR PCC MEMBERSHIP
AMD ENTRY ON THE CHURCH ELECTORAL ROLL (GS 2254A AND GS 2254B)

*Mr Clive Scowen* (London) to move as an amendment:

‘Leave out “Archbishops’ Council” and insert “Business Committee”.’

CONTINGENCY BUSINESS

REDUCE PAROCHIAL FEES FOR MARRIAGES (GS 2282A AND GS 2282B)

*Mr Sam Margrave* (Coventry) to move as an amendment:

‘After “That this Synod call on the Archbishops’ Council:” insert:

“(a)”, and

after “marriage and pastoral care” insert: “; and

(b) to bring forward a national package of financial and other support for Parishes who will be adversely affected by such a change (i.e low-income parishes, and those with large numbers of wedding ceremonies)”.’