

#### A. Background

- I. In February 2022, Wells Cathedral was audited by the Social Care Institute of Excellence (SCIE) who reviewed its safeguarding policy, practices, leadership and management along with its safeguarding culture.
- 2. The resulting SCIE audit is published on the Cathedral's website here.
- 3. All Cathedrals have been audited by SCIE, with the first audits taking place in 2018. Wells Cathedral audit was delayed by the Covid-19 pandemic.
- 4. Via the Action Plan, Wells Cathedral Chapter, has responded to the questions raised in the SCIE review. The plan contains initial responses, actions needed and who is responsible for those actions.
- 5. Usually the Action Plans are published up to three months after the final audit report but Wells Cathedral Chapter decided to publish its Action Plan at the same time as the publication of the report to demonstrate its commitment to meaningful change.
- 6. Regular updates of the Action Plan can also be expected and each update will be published.

#### **B.** Conclusions of the SCIE Report

From the conclusions of the SCIE audit the following is reported about Wells Cathedral:

- I. The virger team is well recognised and effective in their arrangements for the safety and security of the site and visitors. There is some further work to be done to ensure that this continues to be underpinned by agreed procedures to ensure that the Cathedral is both a place of welcome and safety.
- 2. There is a range of safely managed provision for children at Wells Cathedral.
- 3. There is a positive recognition of the needs of visitors to the Cathedral and support is available and well managed. Volunteers who become vulnerable by virtue of age or illness are recognised and supported. Potential vulnerabilities of staff and volunteers which have arisen from the culture and management of the Cathedral have not always been recognised.
- 4. Much good work has taken place within the choirs in recent years to strengthen and reinforce its safeguarding efforts and there is a clear priority placed on the welfare of choristers. Links with the school are excellent. Some concerns around incidents of bullying are noted and this requires further work.
- 5. The safeguarding practice of the bell tower at Wells Cathedral is strong, with tried, tested and robust procedures in place to mitigate against any risks.

#### **WELLS CATHEDRAL**

#### Post SCIE Audit Safeguarding Action Plan - May 2022 (Public Release I)



- 6. Case work and information sharing at Wells Cathedral is a strength and is benefitted by close working with the DSA/DSM and external agencies.
- 7. Training is centrally tracked and well managed and all within the Cathedral have received or have safeguarding training planned.
- 8. Safer recruitment is standardised and evident. However, its process is disjointed and there remains concern from those managing the system that something will be missed. Streamlining of the system is required.
- 9. Safeguarding policies and procedures are in place, but there are omissions and overlaps.
- 10. The COO at Wells Cathedral is effective, well recognised and regarded. Cathedral safeguarding work is supported by a very strong relationship with the diocese, and DSA team.
- 11. Chapter are scrutinous in their seeking of assurances about safeguarding within the Cathedral and there is evidence of quality assurance. However, Chapter has not adequately challenged the current culture of unhappiness for staff and some volunteers which has made them vulnerable.
- 12. The DSAP has been an effective forum for the oversight, scrutiny and development of safeguarding within the Cathedral. The introduction of a Cathedral safeguarding panel will be a positive step.
- 13. While the theological leadership of safeguarding is recognised and has developed at Wells Cathedral, it is not always overtly visible to those that are part of the Cathedral community.
- 14. The strategic leadership of safeguarding at Wells Cathedral is mixed. Safeguarding systems are in place and in the main work well, but Chapter has not challenged culture, and leadership from the Dean is perceived by many as intimidating. The Cathedral does not have an overarching safeguarding plan.
- 15. The operational leadership of safeguarding in Wells Cathedral is good but concentrated in one person. Knowledge of the DSA's role and route to reporting directly to them is less well known. There is an opportunity to underpin current practice with more formal routes of communication and a review of roles.
- 16. Safeguarding is a commonly understood priority across the Cathedral and many opportunities are being taken to further reinforce this culture. However, the culture for staff and volunteers is polarised with a feeling of general unhappiness



١.

	SCIE questions for consideration	SCIE Audit Ref/Page no:	Chapter response April 2022	Actions	Dates and who is responsible	Programme Evidence Updates
I	What are the barriers to seeking advice and putting in place anti-terrorism measures which protect the Cathedral and those within it, are well established and well-rehearsed?	3.1.16 Page 10	The Chapter recognised the importance of establishing robust antiterrorism measures pre-Covid and was moving towards embedding initial training given to staff and cascading training to volunteers when Covid-19 pandemic began.	There is new training issued by PREVENT which will be cascaded to staff and volunteers The Clerk of the Works and the Head Virger will work together to put in place measures to protect the Cathedral and those within it, post training. The measures will be tested by an exercise being planned with the Avon and Somerset Police	Training cascade by 31.10.2022. (H& S Coordinator)  Measures in place 31.12.2022. (Clerk of the Works and Head Virger)  Simulation tbc. Date not within Cathedral control (Clerk of the Works)	
2	How can the Cathedral be assured that relationships between the virger team, the Dean and other senior leaders reach a mutually acceptable level?	3.1.16 Page 10	Wells Cathedral strives for 'happy excellence' and a key part of this phrase is working to achieve a	Strategic: The Chapter has requested an independent	Chapter wrote to the Bishop of Taunton on 5 April 2022 to request the	



supportive and safe	review of	independent review
culture where people are	leadership and	of leadership and
empowered to deliver	culture at Wells	culture. It is
their best work. It is clear	Cathedral. Whilst	anticipated (hoped)
from the feedback	the virgers are one	that she will work
received that there are	named area of	with the NST and
polarised views	concern, there	SCIE (as
(mentioned a number of	may be others	appropriate) to
times in the report) and	too. There may	determine the
this means there is more	also be areas	review process.
work to do to deliver	where 'happy	
against this aspiration.	excellence' is	An external
Difficulties in the	achieved. It is	facilitator will be
relationship between	important for	used to define the
virgers, the Dean need to	Chapter to	detailed terms of
be addressed strategically	recognise all these	reference for the
and operationally.	points and to	review and to
	empower all to be	conduct it.
	able to respond if	
	they wish to.	Senior leaders may
		also benefit from a
		work consultant (or
		supervision as it is
		known in the
		safeguarding and
		medical
		professions).
		Although it would
		need to be
		differentiated from
		the MDR process,
		having a safe space
		to discuss areas of
		concern, stress and



			tension may help prevent frustration and guide proactive and positive behaviours.
	The Cathedral leadership had robust Covid-19 measures in place as part of its risk assessment for services, events, and meetings. Virgers and volunteers were managed closely during this time to ensure compliance as new and different procedures were needed. The post Covid working relationship may have been impacted.	This is an area of particular interest for the Dean of Wells. He will undertake a research project 'Cathedrals in the post Covid landscape: their governance, cultures and safeguarding' to seek to inform this action plan as it progresses.	Research to commence at Easter and to continue into the summer with the affirmation and support of the Bishop of Taunton, Acting Diocesan Bishop of Bath and Wells.  The Canon Pastor has offered pastoral care to virgers and will continue to do so.
	The virger review, commissioned by Chapter in September 2021, and conducted by a former	<b>Operational:</b> A fifth virger is to be recruited.	Advertised in May 2022 by COO
	Dean's Verger (recently retired) between 18 and	An agreed basic set-up for all	Head Virger by end July 2022



21 October 2021, sought to address the root cause of some of the frustrations in the relationship; and the discussions about the outcomes and actions was work in progress at the time of the audit.	meeting rooms to be agreed  The virger handbook to be reviewed and responsibility to be given for the implementation of standards mutually	Head Virger by September 2022
	agreed.  Regular team meetings to be reintroduced  Head Virger sessions with the COO to be reintroduced.	Head Virger by July 2022, with assistance to chair if needed.  Already reinstated in March 2022.
	The development plan put in place for one of the virgers so that he can progress within the CofE (or just in his career) to continue	Virger already studying for Guild of Vergers. Also assisting with projects so that gets a broader view and experience of work in a Cathedral.



3	Who is best placed to support the development of safety procedures to standardise Cathedral practices in relation to missing children and adults and lone working?	3.1.16 Page 10	Chapter recognised that there is a need for a thorough policy review as part of its self-audit. Chapter also recognised the need to provide further staff resource in this area to embed policies, produce procedures and monitor compliance.	An HR and Safeguarding Coordinator will be recruited. This recruit will work with the Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser to standardise these procedures	Chapter has signalled the requirement for the additional post and has requested the Finance Committee to reforecast the budget. To be advertised by COO in June 2022.	
4	How might safeguarding information be better elicited from schools in order to inform provision and ensure effective safeguarding of visiting pupils?	3.1.27 Page 11	The Chapter will ask the Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser to address this question	To be part of the Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser objectives	For determination and delivery by start of the academic year.	
5	How sure is Wells Cathedral that children using their services feel safe and are clear on who they might speak with if they do not? How are the expectations of staff working with them made clear to children within the Cathedral?	3.1.27 Page 11	The Chapter will ask the Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser to address this question with the Education Officer with reference to the suggestions made in the report	To be part of the Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser objectives	For determination and delivery by start of the academic year.	
6	Is the Cathedral confident that there are support systems in place for recognising and supporting volunteers who may become vulnerable by virtue of age or disability which is consistently applied across the Cathedral?	3.1.36 Page 13	The Chapter is confident that the support systems exist. The consistent application across the Cathedral will be tested.	The Canon Pastor and the Volunteer Coordinator will work with the Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser to document the process and procedures and	All 3 posts are responsible. Consistency of application to be determined by 30 July 2022. Documentation of procedures by 30 October 2022	



7	How best can the Cathedral recognise the concerns raised regarding bullying within the choirs and work with the school to ensure these are well managed?	3.2.18 Page 16	The Chapter works with the school, to ensure that any concerns about bullying in the choir are logged, managed and dealt	then disseminate and discuss in volunteer forums The Cathedral and Wells Cathedral School operate within a partnership	Dissemination by 31 December 2022. Initial discussion at the meeting on 6 May 2022. COO, Director of Music, WCS Safeguarding	
8	How might the Cathedral better utilise chorister chaperones to monitor issues such as bullying in more unstructured times?	3.2.18 Page 16	with effectively, with appropriate follow-up and review, in accordance with the school's current practice.	agreement. These concerns will be raised at the next quarterly meeting and an	Lead and Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser attended. Plan to address to	
9	To what extent are the Cathedral confident that choristers understand what they can expect from those caring for them and feel able to share any concerns, including with chaperones or via routes for doing so anonymously?	3.2.18 Page 16	It is important that standards of discipline are recognised as being consistent across school and cathedral environments and the	action plan agreed to cover all areas of consideration 7- 10.	be formulated by Michaelmas term.	
10	Who is best placed to re-produce the chorister handbook, code of conduct and any information for parents, to ensure current issues such as peer on peer abuse, bullying and on-line issues are included?	3.2.18 Page 16	and cathedral	re se nave ne e		



П	What steps would help to ensure that the bell tower feels more fully connected to the Cathedral to those within it, and more visible to those outside of it?	3.2.26 Page 17	As is acknowledged in the report much has already been done in this area because of healthy dialogue and conversation.	Further conversations to agree a display of bells within the Cathedral, to include information about the bells; with the aim of raising awareness and perhaps boosting recruitment.	COO and Clerk of the Works to engage with the Tower Captain, or nominees to progress this work. Offer to be made by 30 July 2022.	
12	How can the Cathedral be seen to move from tolerating and excusing behaviour that has a safeguarding element to recognising and dealing effectively with it?	3.3.8 Page 19	The report acknowledges the hard work done in this area over the last 5 years (para 3.3.3), but it is recognised that this is a relatively short period of time for people to recognise the 'shift'.	The external and independent review of leadership and culture will seek to help address this question.  The Code of Safer Working Practice has been adopted, will be disseminated	The independent review has been requested (see point 2). Terms of reference will be available on the website when written.  The Code of Safer Working Practice was specifically adopted in the Chapter meeting on	
				through the organisation, and senior leaders and line managers given guidance on how to model these behaviours and reinforce the	29 March 2022.  The external review will provide a clear 'road-map' of what else is required for safer working practice.	



	requirement of	
	others to adopt	
	them.	
	unem.	
		The COO and CSA
	The actions of the	are already
	PCR2 report will	implementing,
	be implemented.	
		The COO and CSA
	A Cathedral	will work on the
	Safeguarding Panel	terms of reference
	(in plan before	for this Panel, being
	Covid-19) will be	careful not to
	convened and	duplicate the work
	become part of	done by cathedral
	the governance of	and school in
	safeguarding.	respect of the
	Ensuring more	choristers. Panel to
	people are part of	be in place by 31
	safeguarding	December 2022.
	governance will	
	assist in changing	
	perceptions.	
	Continue to	
	address instances	
	of poor behaviour	
	that has a	
	safeguarding	
	element to it as	
	there is no better	
	way to change	
	perception than by	
	continued action.	



13	What additional contextual and supplementary training would best support those in public-facing roles to identify and manage risk and support those in need?	3.5.8 Page 20	Chapter is committed to providing training to support all roles in the Cathedral.	Domestic abuse training will be rolled out across the Cathedral for staff and volunteers.	Already committed to by 31.December 2022
				Dementia training will be repeated Substance abuse training will be provided	Providers to be identified.
14	How can best use be made of documents related to safer recruitment and who is best placed to take responsibility for ensuring their consistent use?	3.6.9 Page 22	Chapter is committed to safer recruitment policies. Resource constraints have meant that various parts of the job have been	As part of the self- audit process Chapter brought forward the recruitment of an	Chapter has already agreed the requirement for the additional post and has requested the
15	How might the cathedral look to streamline recruitment processes for both staff and volunteers to ensure overlaps and potential gaps are avoided?	3.6.9 Page 22	allocated to different people. This was already recognised as inefficient and was due to be remedied in 2023 budget when Cathedral finances were more sustainable, post Covid.	HR and Safeguarding Coordinator into 2022.  This post will make best use of documents, ensure their consistent use, and streamline the recruitment process.	Finance Committee to consider a re- forecasted budget in its April meeting.  To be advertised by COO in June 2022.
16	Who is best placed to review the content and range of the existing suite of safeguarding policies, guidance, and	4.1.10 Page 24	Chapter has already identified a review of policies, guidance and	The Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser, working	By 31 December 2022 work will be well underway.



		1		tale also		
	process within the Cathedral, streamlining		process within the	with the new post		
	to reduce the number and overlap where		Cathedral was required as	of HR and		
	required?		part of its self-assessment.	Safeguarding		
				Coordinator can		
				undertake this		
				work.		
				WOTK.		
				Additional		
				oversight will be		
				provided by a		
				consultant on a		
				short-term basis if		
				the Cathedral		
				Safeguarding		
				Adviser is not able		
				to assist within the		
				timescale needed.		
17	What are the current gaps in policy and	4.1.10	The audit has helpfully	See section 4.1 of	By 31 December,	
	procedure?	Page 24	pointed out the gaps in	the audit.	2022	
	'		policy and procedure in			
			section 4.1			
18	What actions are required to reverse the	4.2.10	The Chapter want a	The external	External review	
	view of the COO being part of a 'culture	Page 25	culture of happy	review, referred	requested.	
	of fear' in order to be satisfied that this	. 486 25	excellence and regrets	to in para 2 will	. equested.	
	view is not affecting the safeguarding		that the close working	consider this		
			_			
1	process?		relationship between the	question as it is		
			Dean and COO can feel	imperative there is		
			officious and intimidating	no barrier to the		
1			at times by some but is	safeguarding		
			heartened that not all feel	process.		
			this way.			
			The Cathedral	Cathedral	The Cathedral	
			Safeguarding Adviser will	Safeguarding	Safeguarding	



			become more known by staff and volunteers as he commences work on the actions contained in this plan. Although in post for two years, he started work 6 weeks before lockdown and with the slow return of volunteers he is not yet known.	Adviser to commence work on actions as described elsewhere in the plan.	Adviser will commence working in the Cathedral offices rather than remotely as soon as practicable.
19	How can the multi-roles of the COO be re-distributed to ensure sustainability and remove any conflicts of interest?	4.2.10 Page 25	Chapter shares the concern about the COO workload and its sustainability. The conflict of interest is unfortunate and has been caused by the fact that she is trusted and has been able to surface many long-standing issues. These historic issues are now dealt with, and the COO she is the first to agree that an organisational realignment is needed.	The Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser and the new post of HR and Safeguarding Coordinator will remove conflicts of interest.  The creation of a Cathedral Safeguarding Panel will also introduce a shared approach to oversight of operational safeguarding and to help inform and implement a strategic plan.  The external	Post appointment of the HR and Safeguarding Coordinator.  Panel to be in place by 31 December 2022.  External review
				review of leadership and	requested.



			1	1 , ,		
				culture will also be		
				asked for input.		
20	Would a standardised and widely available	4.3.3.	Chapter's view is that any	Cathedral	By 30 July 2022	
	referral format improve the quality and	Page 26	standardisation is	Safeguarding		
	flow of safeguarding referrals?		welcome.	Adviser to provide		
				a standardised and		
				widely available		
				referral format,		
21	Who would be best placed to contribute	5.1.9	The Safeguarding lead for	With external	By 31 October	
	to, and own, a strategic plan for	Page 28	Chapter, currently the	assistance if	2022	
	safeguarding?		Precentor, owns	required, the		
			safeguarding at a strategic	Precentor will put		
			level.	in place a strategic		
				plan with		
			An operational	contributions from		
			safeguarding plan for the	DSAP, a newly		
			Cathedral is in place,	constructed		
			based on the Diocesan	Safeguarding Panel,		
			plan.	COO and		
			'	Diocesan		
				Safeguarding		
				Manager and		
				Cathedral		
				Safeguarding		
				Adviser. WCS may		
				also wish to have		
				an input.		
22	Who is best placed to review the	5.2.3	The current complaints	The COO will	By 31 July 2022	
	complaints policy?	Page 28	policy is based on the	request the		
			Diocesan complaints	Diocese to review		
			policy.	its policy in the		
			' '	first instance,		
			Therefore, Chapter will	possibly via DSAP.		
			be keen that any			
		1	JU ROOM GIAC AND			



			amendment is also discussed at Diocesan level.			
23	How can the Cathedral be satisfied that any anonymous but potentially serious safeguarding complaints are not overlooked?	5.2.3 Page 28	Interestingly, the COO has asked the DSM to investigate an anonymous complaint where it has been possible to liaise with professional bodies to establish facts. The investigation was concluded satisfactorily.	Policy review should remove reference to anonymous complaints not being investigated and adopt more nuanced wording.	By 31 July 2022	
24	Who is best placed to review the whistleblowing policy both as a standalone document and within the staff handbook?	5.3.2. Page 29	Chapter has already identified that the staff handbook and whistleblowing policy required review. This was delayed by the need to respond to the global pandemic.	The whistleblowing policy will be adopted by Chapter as a standalone document and then incorporated in a revised staff handbook.  The policies and staff handbook will be rewritten to bring them up to date	The Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser will provide a template whistleblowing policy for adaption by COO and then adoption by Chapter by 30 June 2022.  The COO will be accountable for the staff handbook, probably based on a template provided by solicitors. Consultation with staff means	



					completion likely by 31 December 2022.
25	How might the Cathedral be satisfied that the whistleblowing policy is disseminated and embedded across all staff and volunteers?	5.3.2 Page 29	Chapter will bring forward the recruitment of an HR and Safeguarding Coordinator	Policy to be devised, as described above.  Dissemination to staff and volunteers	COO will advertise for the post in June  Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser with new HR and Safeguarding Coordinator
				Policy to be added to volunteers' handbook.	Policy added by Volunteer coordinator. To be discussed as part of annual volunteer role refreshers, input by Cathedral Safeguarding Adviser or HR and Safeguarding Coordinator as available. Staff awareness and
				further discussion as staff handbook revised and reissued.	consultation sessions from November 1, to be concluded by 31 December 2022
26	What would the Cathedral safeguarding panel's terms of reference best look like in	5.4.6 Page 30	Chapter will endeavour to ensure the Cathedral	Seek additional guidance from	Cathedral Safeguarding



	order to support operational leadership of safeguarding which is separate from Chapter's strategic oversight?		Safeguarding Panel is constructed in line with auditor reflections.	other safeguarding professionals for draft terms of reference	Advisor to ask Safeguarding network by I September 2022  Terms of reference to be drafted and tested against those for DSAP to ensure separation by 30 November 2022	
27	Who would be best placed to sit on this group to promote wider ownership of safeguarding across all areas of the Cathedral?	5.4.6 Page 30	The COO is conscious that more formal methods of communication need to be introduced since staff returned from furlough as result of the Covid 19 pandemic.	COO will have a weekly Operational Heads of Department meeting in place from April 12, 2022.  Add Safeguarding as an agenda item, at appropriate intervals — either as a single agenda meeting quarterly or more frequently.  To be discussed with operational heads to see what would be most useful and effective.	Operating fully by September 1, 2022.	



28	What opportunities exist, or can be further created, to share the message of the safeguarding and its theological importance?	5.5.6. Page 31	The Chapter is committed to embedding the message of safeguarding and its theological importance	The Chapter Executive will discuss the opportunities that exist and devise a plan.	The Dean and Precentor to lead.	
				Cathedral to participate in 'Safeguarding Sunday' and will work with Diocese to deliver a compelling service.	The Dean and Precentor to lead.	
29	How can the adoption of a high-challenge, high-support approach be speedily achieved by strategic leaders?	5.5.14 Page 32	Given the nature of the feedback received from the auditors Chapter believes that an	Chapter to work with the Acting Diocesan Bishop of Bath and Wells,	Request made in a letter to the Bishop of Taunton on 5 April 2022 and	
30	How can the visibility of strategic leaders be improved to include all aspects of the Cathedral?	5.5.14 Page 32	independent review of the leadership and culture is required to address all these areas.	NST and SCIE (as appropriate) to determine the detailed terms of	agreed in principle.  Next steps to be identified post	
31	What are the barriers to creating a strategic safeguarding plan?	5.5.14 Page 32	Independent enquiry of staff, volunteers, congregation etc. will enable specific feedback upon which action planning can be based.  Management of the Covid	reference for an independent review.	acceptance of the action plan.	
			19 pandemic was the only barrier to creating a			



			strategic safeguarding plan.		
32	How might more formal routes of communication between operational safeguarding leaders be managed and how best can they be linked with the future introduction of the Cathedral's safeguarding group?	5.5.22 Page 33	The COO is conscious that more formal methods of communication need to be introduced since staff returned from furlough as result of the Covid 19 pandemic.	COO will have a weekly Operational Heads of Department meeting in place from April 12, 2022. The matter of how best they can be linked will be addressed by paying careful attention to the terms of reference of the Cathedral Safeguarding Panel and the creation/implementation of a robust Safeguarding operational plan	Operating fully by I September 2022
33	What is required to ensure the review of the COO's role includes all aspects of concern and sustainability?	5.5.22 Page 33	Given the nature of the feedback received from the auditors Chapter believes that the independent review of leadership and culture should be asked to review what is planned to ensure the review of the role	Chapter to work with the Acting Diocesan Bishop of Bath and Wells, NST and SCIE (as appropriate) to determine the detailed terms of reference for an	Request made in a letter to the Bishop of Taunton on 5 April 2022 and agreed in principle.  Next steps to be identified post acceptance of the action plan.



			addresses all areas of concern and is sustainable.	independent review.		
34	What considerations are required to address the issues of culture within the Cathedral for staff and volunteers and to reverse the concerns they have raised?	5.6.14 Page 36	Given the nature of the feedback received from the auditors Chapter believes that an independent review of leadership and culture is required to address all these areas.	Chapter to work with the Acting Diocesan Bishop of Bath and Wells, NST and SCIE (as appropriate) to determine the detailed terms of reference for an independent review.	Request made in a letter to the Bishop of Taunton on 5 April 2022 and agreed in principle.  Next steps to be identified post acceptance of the action plan.	
35	How might the Cathedral create a more balanced and diverse community in Vicars' Close?	5.6.14 Page 36	Chapter was much struck by the reflections of the auditors on the culture/subcultures evident in Vicars' Close, particularly in the use of the words dependency and infantilisation such that feelings are acted out in a way that is not adult.	Naturally, this is an extremely sensitive area for staff as it involves their home.  There are already examples where the Chapter has considered and agreed for individuals not to live on Vicars' Close (both musicians).  Work is underway to understand the implications on employee benefits to facilitate	Individual discussions to commence before 31 December 2022.	



considerations of
further requests
should they arise.
When this work is
complete and,
given the nature of
the feedback
received, Chapter
will consider the
best way of
engaging with the
staff who live on
Vicars' Close to
determine
whether the time
has come to
provide an
OPTIONAL (for
current staff)
alternative
employment
package so that
staff may live 'away
from the job.'
Chapter will also
review whether
living in such close
proximity is
necessary for the
better
performance of



				duties for all future recruits.	
36	How might the Cathedral's senior leadership become perceived by staff as supportive and enabling rather than critical and blaming?	5.6.14 Page 36	Given the nature of the feedback received from the auditors Chapter believes that an independent review of leadership and culture is		Request made in a letter to the Bishop of Taunton on 5 April 2022 and agreed in principle.
			required to address all these areas.		Next steps to be identified post acceptance of the action plan.

Note: An independent review of leadership and culture will lead to an improvement plan, the progress of which will be noted in more detail as the work is undertaken.