

## **Table of Proposed Amendments from Members of Synod**

The following tables sets out the proposed amendments received from Members of Synod in order of the clauses in GS2360, the Draft National Church Governance Measure as it stood at introduction.

Given the very large number of proposals for amendment received, the table was prepared by the National Church Governance Team as an internal reference document to assist the Legal Team in preparation of papers for the meetings of Revision Committee.

At all times use of this analysis has been secondary to the actual submissions made and publicly available here: [submissions-to-the-revision-committee-for-ncgm.pdf](#). However, as the draft Measure returns to Synod for the Revision Stage, we thought that members might also find it of some assistance to have access to this table.

Stephanie Harrison  
Director  
National Church Governance Programme

### Table of Proposed Amendments – Synod Members

Proposer	Ref	Dioceses	Clause	Proposed Amendment
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	1	Unless there is a good reason to separate Clause 1 from Clause 23, these provisions should appear together to aid understanding.
Douglas Dettmer	117	Exeter	1,1,d	In subsection 1(1)(d) of the draft Measure, the name of the National Society is given as ‘the National Society for Promoting Religious Education’. The present name under which the National Society is incorporated (as listed at Companies House and with the Charity Commission) is: <i>The National Society (Church of England and Church in Wales) for the Promotion of Education</i> . 1(1)(d) should reflect the present name.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	1,3	What is the relationship and overlap between a Legislative Reform Order and Clause 1(3) and Clause 23(5)? It is stated in GS Misc 1388 paragraph 12 that the Legislative Reform Committee ‘would like to take forward its current work’ until such time as the National Church Governance Measure is implemented. There is no explanation or rationale as to why ‘flexibility’ is needed to enable an addition to or removal of a National Church Institution by the Archbishops when the Order would, in any case be subject to Clause 23.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	2,4	After “... CENS may” insert “, with the prior and considered approval of Synod.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	2,4	<p>insert 'except for all of paragraph 1' and append 'in accordance with the procedure laid out in section 23 of this measure with the following additional provisions:</p> <p>(a) section 23(5) will not apply to any order to amend Schedule 1, and</p> <p>(b) a separate order must be drafted for each paragraph of Schedule 1 being amended other than paragraph 1 which is excluded.'</p> <p>Alternatively – substitute:</p> <p>'CENS may by order amend Schedule 1, except for all of paragraph 1, in accordance with the procedure laid out in section 23 of this measure with the following additional provisions:</p> <p>(a) section 23(5) will not apply to any order to amend Schedule 1, ensuring that all such orders are subject to debate and amendable by the Synod, and</p> <p>(b) a separate order must be drafted for each paragraph of Schedule 1 being amended, other than paragraph 1 which is excluded, to facilitate focused consideration and discussion on each proposed change'</p>
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	2,4	Delete Clause 2 (4). This removes the statement about Schedule 1 being amendable by order by CENS.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	2,4	CENS itself should not be able to amend its own constitution by order which is contrary to the principles of good governance.
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	3	Charitable objects are not clear enough. In the '47 & '98 Measures, there is great clarity in the definition of the charitable objects of the Church, not least pertaining to the cure of souls. As presently drafted, the charitable objects in clause 3 are obscure, insufficiently clear & merit much closer attention.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	3	Remove the requirements from Schedule 1 and insert within Clause 3. The requirements for a majority of CENS members to be on General Synod, and for there to be a majority of lay CENS members, are currently covered in Schedule 1. These are vital requirements, and so should not be open to revision by order.

Proposer	Ref	Dioceses	Clause	Proposed Amendment
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	3,3	The church has presently an alarming & growing shortage of stipendiary clergy: partly, as a result of baby boomer retirement ( as the Church has known for well over a decade, 20% of clergy are due to have retired between '20 & '25 ); & partly, due to the 40% fall in ordinands in training ( in other words, too few are coming off the production line, in part because too few are commencing training). Notably in clauses 3 & 4, is this paucity recognised as being of preeminent importance? And, if so, does the draft Measure, within its scope, prioritise & address adequately this matter?
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,3,a	Charitable Status and Objects. Suggestion to adopt a more relevant phrase than 'pastoral, evangelistic, social and ecumenical' and adopt more modern terminology around the 5 Marks of Mission.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,3,a	Consideration be given to use of promotion and advancement of religion.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,3,a	Replace "... and ecumenical;" with ", ecumenical and the cure of souls in every parish"
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,3,a	Substitute 'to serve, support, encourage and enable the mission and ministry of the whole Church of England, pastoral, evangelistic, social and ecumenical'.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,3,b	Church Ethos considered to be too vague
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	3,3,b	Proposed revision: substitute new 3(3)(b): (b) supporting other institutions, bodies, charities and office-holders, within the Church of England.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	3,3,b	In subsection (3)(b)— (a) “diocesan body” has the meaning given in section 19(1) of the Dioceses, Pastoral and Mission Measure 2007, and (b) a charity has “a Church ethos” if its ethos is based on the principles of the Church of England, whether or not it has the advancement of the Christian religion as a charitable object. (8) The charitable object of CENS specified in subsection (3)(b) does not include enabling and enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of parochial church councils (and the definitions in subsection (7) are to be read accordingly).
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,3,b	As is – but see revision in subsection (7)(c) below which would no longer explicitly exclude parishes.
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	3,3,b	Charitable objects in clause 3, careful further thought is required. The introduction of the notion of a ‘Church ethos’ is inconsistent & incoherent vis-à-vis the ‘98 Measure.
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	3,3,b	3 (3) (b) Charitable status and objects The “other National Institutions, diocesan bodies and charities with a Church ethos” as referenced in this clause are all independent bodies of CENS with their own corporate personality. I would therefore suggest that the words “enabling and enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of...” are not really appropriate as objects for CENS, as the enabling and enhancing of the efficiency and effectiveness of these bodies rests with the bodies themselves (and their respective trustees). Perhaps the words “supporting and facilitating the ongoing efficiency and effectiveness of....” (or something similar) might be more appropriate.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,3,b	OMIT ‘charities with a church ethos’.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,3,c	INSERT new clause 3(3)(c) as follows: ‘nurture, support and encourage traditional, new and emerging expressions of Church.’

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,3,d	INSERT new clause 3(3)(d) 'Making provision for the cure of souls in parishes [and other places] where such assistance is required'.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	3,3,g	Additionally add at the end of Clause 3 (4) (g) 'including to parochial church councils.
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	3,4	The church has presently an alarming & growing shortage of stipendiary clergy: partly, as a result of baby boomer retirement ( as the Church has known for well over a decade, 20% of clergy are due to have retired between '20 & '25 ); & partly, due to the 40% fall in ordinands in training ( in other words, too few are coming off the production line, in part because too few are commencing training). Notably in clauses 3 & 4, is this paucity recognised as being of preeminent importance? And, if so, does the draft Measure, within its scope, prioritise & address adequately this matter?
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	3,4,a	Consideration be given to the wording of actions
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,4,a	Repeat phrase principles set by in clauses 3(4)b and Clause 3(7)b
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,4,a	Replace "or" by "and"
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	3,4,a	Substitute new 3(4)(a): (a) development and implementation of plans in accordance with proposals set out by the House of Bishops or the General Synod for furthering the work and mission of the Church;
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,4,a	Replace 'or' with 'and'
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,4,a	SUBSTITUTE 'or' in the second line with 'and'.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,4,b	After "... and reporting" add "to Synod"

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	3,4,b	Substitute new 3(4) (b): (b) monitoring and reporting on the actions carried out under paragraph (a);
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,4,b	Insert the words, 'to General Synod', after 'reporting'
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,4,c	OMIT the reference to 'all those who hold office as a diocesan or suffragan bishop (taken as a group. . . )'.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,4,d	The solitary mention of the parish in this draft measure is here;
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,4,d	Is it for CENS to develop policies on matters of political interest and implement those policies? There have always been a range of views on political matters within the Church. The Archbishops, Bishops and any member of the Church has the liberty of his or her own political views and can express them.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,4,e	Insert at the start "recognising that the House of Bishops, through General Synod, is the source of policy development," and replace "... of policies..." by "of these same policies ...":
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,4,e	replace 'on matters of religious or political interest and engaging with individuals and bodies' with 'in accordance with the strategies developed under Subsection (4)(a)'
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,4,f	The word 'Christian' be inserted before 'learning';
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,4,f	After "... culture of learning" insert "across all its traditions"

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	3,4,f	3 (4) (f) Charitable status and objects I recommend this clause is extended to "...a culture of learning and development..." so that the fostering is not just the promotion of learning but then turning that learning into action and continuous improvement through active development.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,4,g	After "... national level" insert "with the primary purpose being the cure of souls within all our parishes"
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,4,g	substitute 'administering' for 'making' and appending after 'national level', 'and certifying to the body which is the source of the resources that such grants strictly adhere to the charitable purpose of that body and to any relevant legislation concerning that body'
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,4,g	There should be some constraints or parameters on making grants at a national level. At a minimum, there should a duty to develop and then to report against agreed criteria, especially in relation to para-church organisations.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,4,h	INSERT after the word 'secretariat' the words 'or other support services.'
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,5	After "... this section" insert "with the prior, informed approval of Synod
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,5	insert after 'by order', ' made in accordance with the procedure laid out in section 23 of this measure "
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	3,5	Delete Clause 3 (5)
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,5	DELETE Clause 3(5).



<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Marcus Walker	168	London	3,5	Delete 3 (5) CENS may by order amend this section so as to vary its charitable objects or the actions it may take to fulfil them (including by adding or removing a charitable object or an action).
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,6	A variation in the charitable Objects should first be laid before General Synod before it is registered with the Charity Commission.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	3,6	Delete Clause 3 (6)
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,7,b	Question relating to the principles of the Church of England
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,7,b	After "Church of England" insert ", which includes trust and mutual Christian love,"
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	3,7,b	Proposed revision: delete subsection (b) in clause 3(7).
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,7,b	Remove Church Ethos
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	3,7,c	Comment re exclusion of PCCS
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	3,7,c	Delete "neither a diocesan body nor
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	3,7,c	Proposed revision: delete subsection (c) in clause 3(7).
Robert Zampetti	361	London	3,7,c	omit and substitute, 'as qualifying charitable objects, parochial church councils may voluntarily avail themselves of services and resources if and when such services and resources are made available by CENS; CENS may not otherwise interfere with parochial church governance.'

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	3,7,c	Delete this subsection.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	3,7,c	OMIT
Marcus Walker	168	London	3,7,c	Delete 3 (7) (c) For the purposes of this section— (c) a parochial church council is neither a diocesan body nor a charity with a Church ethos.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	4	Replace Governance & Nominations Committee with Appointments Committee
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	4	The Appointments Committee of General Synod is respected and trusted by General Synod. It has the experience and expertise and its members are elected. It should be invited to serve as a Nominations or Appointments Committee to CENS.
Debrah McIssac	402	Salisbury	4	There should be an independent Governance Committee which reviews CENS and synodical procedures (which for this purpose should include the Legal Reform Committee) and reports to Synod at least annually. The key criteria should be that those who serve on the Governance Committee have no other role on or in relation to CENS. This Governance Committee should report to General Synod at least annually and have an obligation to make special reports should the circumstances require. CENS will obviously be a Charity. The consequence is that members of CENS must act in the best interests of the Charity but the best interests of the Charity may not be co-extensive with the best interests of the House of Laity or the Convocations. Some way must be found which enables those members of General Synod, whether elected or co-opted, to speak and act in the best interests of their constituents. The Revision Committee is urged to consider these issues and to discuss with the Charities Commission whether and how these issues can be resolved.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4	Both CENS and the Church Commissioners will have their own, separate Governance and Nominations Committee (GS 2360P, page 23). Surely, this is either excessive or an editorial mistake.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4	Others will ask this, no doubt, but why not use Synod's existing Appointments Committee? It would be genuinely independent of CENS. Paragraphs 62 – 64 of GS 2360P, the supporting policy statement, do not give a rationale for this proposal. The duplication seems unnecessary and needs to be justified. The danger is that CENS might, over time, become a self-serving, introspective silo.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	4	Governance and Nominations Committee to produce Annual Report
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	4,1	Use of word 'advising'. The word 'advising' is broad and, perhaps, deceptively, harmless; in practice, will the Governance & Noms Committee have a veto over appointments to the CENS Board and its committees? Should not the role and powers of the Governance & Noms Committee over such appointments be made much clearer and specific? Can the 'advice' be considered and then rejected/ ignored – with or without a stated reason being given?
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	4,1,b	Would it be simpler for the Governance & Noms Committee to recommend all appointments to the CENS Board and, at the same time, to put in place advice on good practice for the recruitment and appointment to all the committees. sub-committees and task groups, such advice to include regular monitoring and reporting of membership and effectiveness?
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	4,1,c	4 (1) (c) Governance and Nominations Committee: functions and membership In light of the stated focus on diversity, I recommend the extension of this clause to read "the skills, knowledge and experience of, and the diversity among, members of CENS and members of its committees".

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	4,2	Independent members in ( d) are deleted (which also means that Clause 4 (5) falls away) and that instead four members are elected from General Synod; two from the House of Laity elected by the House of Laity and 2 from the House of Clergy elected from the House of Clergy. ALTERNATIVELY, that 2 are directly elected by the 2 Houses of Synod and 2 are appointed by the Appointments Committee of Synod.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	4,2,a	omit and substitute, 'the chair of the committee elected by, but not necessarily from, the House of Clergy and the House of Laity taken together so as to form a single electorate'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	4,2,b	replace 'two' with 'one' and omit 'other'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	4,2,b	In Clause 4 (2) – Delete (b), (c), and (d) and replace with "(b) the members of the Appointments Committee.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	4,2,b	Insert requirement that at least one of the two CENS members appointed under Clause 4(2)(b) must be a General Synod member.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4,2,c	There should be two members from each of the House of Clergy and the House of Laity.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	4,2,c	Should be amended to read 'three persons' rather than 'two persons'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	4,2,c	add 'one other person elected by, but not necessarily from the House of Clergy and the House of Laity taken together so as to form a single electorate, and'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	4,2,c	In Clause 4 (2) – Delete (b), (c), and (d) and replace with "(b) the members of the Appointments Committee. Or In Clause 4 (2), delete (c) and replace with '(c) two persons appointed by the Appointments Committee from amongst themselves'
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	4,2,c	Insert requirement that at least one of the two persons elected under Clause 4(2)(c) must be a member of General Synod's Appointments Committee.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4,2,d	After "... CENS" insert "following recommendations by its Synodical representatives.
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	4,2,d	Proposed revisions: amend paragraph 4(2)(d) and add new clause 4(8):  4(2)(d) two independent persons nominated by CENS and approved by the Scrutiny Committee.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	4,2,d	Should be amended to read 'three persons' rather than 'two persons'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	4,2,d	In Clause 4 (2) – Delete (b), (c), and (d) and replace with "(b) the members of the Appointments Committee.
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	4,2,d	4 (2) (d) Governance and Nominations Committee: functions and membership In order to provide sufficient independent perspective, and so that the committee has sufficient skills in the areas of governance effectiveness and trustee recruitment, I recommend the number of independent persons appointed by CENS to the Governance and Nominations Committee is increased from two to three.
Jane Evans	326	Leeds	4,3	Suggestion that the Chair of the Governance and Nominations Committee should be independent
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4,3	Replace the text of with: "The Chair of the Committee should: (a) be elected by Synod (but need not be a member of it); and (b) after election, become a non-voting, in attendance member of CENS."
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	4,3	Should be deleted.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	4,3	omit and substitute, 'the chair of the committee, by virtue of that role, is also a non-voting member of CENS unless the chair is already otherwise a voting member of CENS.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	4,4	Delete Clause 4 (4)  or  In Clause 4 (4) replace 'election' with 'appointment'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	4,5	Delete Clause 4 (5)
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4,6	(6)(7) Such reviews must be shared with the Synodical Scrutiny Committee in a timely manner.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	4,7	Would it be better to give a power to CENS to amend this section by order (which would need to be approved by Synod under clause 23)?
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	4,7,b	Include at the end “, which includes trust and mutual Christian love.”:
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	4,8	Proposed revisions: amend add new clause 4(8):  4(8) The quorum at a meeting of the committee is four.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	4,8	add a new subsection: '(8) The Committee must provide to the General Synod annually a report of the advice provided to CENS and the response by CENS to such advice'
Debrah McIssac	403	Salisbury	5	A joint Audit and Risk Committee for the Church Commissioners and CENS should be established. Its members should be independent.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5	“Preamble: This Committee must operate in accordance with published best practice from the Charity Commission and from other appropriate organisations.”

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	5	There has been much debate about fair representation on boards & committees; the need for muscular scrutiny of them; & meaningful & clear accountability ( inter alia, clauses 4, 5, 6, 7, 19 & 20 ).Confidence in these three dimensions will do as much as anything to restore trust in the Church, its institutions & the episcopate. Are there precedents in the secular ( notably corporate ) world, which may be helpful & merit attention?
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5	Consideration should be given to establishing a Joint Audit and Risk Committee serving the Church Commissioners and CENS with slightly larger membership and associated support staff. The Joint Audit and Risk Committee would have the remit to audit and risk-manage both bodies from an end-to-end perspective considering the numerous cross-dependencies. At the same time the Joint Audit and Risk Committee should be able to operate independently for each charity, if and when required by the Charity Commission.
John Brydon	370	Norwich	5,1	To amend the functions of CENS, stating that the Audit and Risk Committee shall approve the appointment of the External Auditor rather than recommend the appointment to the Board.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	5,1	Add subsection to Clause 5(1) to require the Audit and Risk Committee to provide copies of its meeting minutes to the Synodical Scrutiny Committee.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,a	if a joint committee were created, a single external auditor could be appointed by the joint committee.
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	5,1,a	5 (1) (a) Audit and Risk Committee: functions and membership In line with good practice in relation to duties of audit and risk committees, I recommend this clause is extended to read along the lines of “to review the ongoing performance of CENS’ external auditor, including recommending to CENS on the appointment of the external auditor as required”.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,b	if a joint committee were created, a single external auditor could be appointed by the joint committee.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,c	if a joint committee were created, these sub-Subsections would be amended to apply to both CENS and the Church Commissioners
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	5,1,c	5 (1) (c) Audit and Risk Committee: functions and membership In line with good practice in relation to duties of audit and risk committees, I recommend this clause is extended to read along the lines of "...and any reports made and advice given to CENS by the auditor appointed by CENS, including the adequacy of management responses".
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,d	if a joint committee were created, these sub-Subsections would be amended to apply to both CENS and the Church Commissioners
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,e	if a joint committee were created, these sub-Subsections would be amended to apply to both CENS and the Church Commissioners
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,f	if a joint committee were created, these sub-Subsections would be amended to apply to both CENS and the Church Commissioners
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,g	if a joint committee were created, these sub-Subsections would be amended to apply to both CENS and the Church Commissioners
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	5,1,g	5 (1) (g) Audit and Risk Committee: functions and membership I recommend that in order to secure ongoing trust, transparency and confidence, the committee's reporting to General Synod is not restricted to matters "which cause the committee grave concern and about which CENS has been unable to satisfy the committee" but instead simply to matters "which cause the committee significant concern". I would assert that "significant" is a term that is more readily understood than "grave", and that regardless of the committee's secondary judgement on whether it is satisfied or not, all matters that cause it significant concern in the first place should be reported.



<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	5,1,g	Add subsection to Clause 5(1) to require the Audit and Risk Committee to report matters of concern (as opposed to “grave concern” in Clause 5(1)(g)) to the Synod Scrutiny Committee.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5,1,h	To respond promptly to any enquiry made of it by the Synodical Scrutiny Committee or its Committee of Inquiry.” one of the serious problems we have at present is delay in being aware that information is needed and then having it provided. There is no point in having, for example, a five yearly review of anything. We need to be far fleeter of foot.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,1,h	add ‘(h) to respond promptly to any enquiry made of it by the Synodical Scrutiny Committee or its Committee of Enquiry.’
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5,2,a	(2)(a, b) Having this Committee’s remit run over other NCIs only increases the need for its independence to be and to be seen to be transparently genuine.
John Brydon	370	Norwich	5,3	To amend the membership of the Audit & Risk Committee:  Change 3 (a) - to one member to be appointed by and from CENS. Change 3 (b) four persons elected.....to constitute a single electorate
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	5,3,a	Should be amended to read ‘two members’ rather than ‘three members’.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,3,a	if a joint committee were created, after ‘CENS,’ add ‘and not less than four members appointed by the Church Commissioners Board of Trustees of whom at least one is an elected Commissioner and at least two are persons who are not Commissioners,’
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	5,3,a	Add a requirement that at least one of the CENS members appointed under Clause 5(3)(a) must be a General Synod member.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	5,3,b	2 independent members in ( c ) are deleted (which also means that Clause 5 (7) falls away) and that instead four members are elected from General Synod; two from the House of Laity elected by the House of Laity and 2 from the House of Clergy elected from the House of Clergy. ALTERNATIVELY, that 2 are directly elected by the 2 Houses of Synod and 2 are appointed by the Appointments Committee of Synod, both being Synod members. There would need to be consequential amendments to Clause 5 (6).
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5,3,b	There must be two members from each of the House of Clergy and the House of Laity.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	5,3,b	Insert requirement that at least one of the two persons elected under Clause 5(3)(b) must be a member of the Synodical Scrutiny Committee.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	5,3,b	Increase to three the number of Synod members elected under Clause 5(3)(b).
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5,3,c	After "... CENS" insert "following recommendations by Synod's representatives."
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	5,3,c	5 (3) (c) Audit and Risk Committee: functions and membership In order to provide sufficient independent perspective, and so that the committee has sufficient skills in the areas covered by its duties, I recommend the number of independent persons appointed by CENS to the Audit and Risk Committee is increased from two to three.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,3,d	if a joint committee is not created, after (c) add, '(d) a non-voting member appointed by the Church Commissioners as an observer.'
John Brydon	370	Norwich	5,4	The chair of the committee is appointed by the committee from among the members of the committee rather than 'the chair of the committee is appointed by CENS from among the members of the committee under subsection (3)(a)'. '

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5,4	Replace the text of (4) with: “The Chair of the Committee should: (a) be appointed by CENS from among the members of the committee under subsection (3)(c); and (b) after appointment, become a non-voting, in attendance member of CENS.”
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	5,4	Should be amended so that the appointment of the Chair is approved by Synod
Robert Zampetti	361	London	5,4	replace ‘CENS’ with ‘the members of the committee’ and insert ‘independent’ after ‘from among the’
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	5,4	5 (4) Audit and Risk Committee: functions and membership In order to ensure good practice in governance and recognising that the chair of CENS is the chair of the Governance and Nominations Committee by virtue of clause 4 (3), I recommend that the chair of CENS is prohibited from being the chair of the Audit and Risk Committee.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	5,9	After insert “and support it by means of a meaningful debate with an amendable motion.”
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	6	GS 2360P, paragraph 72 (page 35) states that this Committee will be “arms-length” and “independent” but it will be a part of CENS. There is no justification for this, only a self-serving statement. To be genuinely independent of CENS and not be subject to any policy drift in the future, it should be a separate entity on a similar basis to the Dioceses Commission.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	6	Delete Clause 6
Robert Zampetti	361	London	6	Omit Clause 6, of, if retained, amend to “before ‘functions’, insert ‘those ‘; and after ‘consents’, insert ‘ which are currently delegated by the Church Commissioners to ChECS staff’.”
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	6	In principle, I am uncomfortable with the transfer to CENS of Mission and Pastoral Adjudication Committee and believe that it is more appropriate for it to remain within the remit of the Church Commissioners.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Marcus Walker	168	London	6	Delete clauses 6 & 7
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	6,3	If clause 6 is retained then clause 6 (3) needs some explanation in notes and examples of what functions are contemplated.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	6,4	Amend Clause 6 (4) to 'The General Synod may, by Measure, amend this section so as to add, vary or remove a function of the committee.'
Marcus Walker	168	London	6,5	If the above does not pass delete 6 (5) (and by implication 6) (4) The General Synod may by resolution amend this section so as to add, vary or remove a function of the committee. (5) The power to make a resolution under subsection (4) is exercisable by statutory instrument.
Marcus Walker	168	London	6,6	If the above does not pass delete 6 (5) (and by implication 6) (4) The General Synod may by resolution amend this section so as to add, vary or remove a function of the committee. (5) The power to make a resolution under subsection (4) is exercisable by statutory instrument.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	7	In principle, I am uncomfortable with the transfer to CENS of Mission and Pastoral Adjudication Committee and believe that it is more appropriate for it to remain within the remit of the Church Commissioners.
Marcus Walker	168	London	7	Delete clauses 6 & 7
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,1,a	insert '(see subsection (6))' after 'His Majesty'.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	7,1,b	Replace "six" with "twelve" and after "... Committee" insert ", who are not members of CENS"
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	7,1,c	Should be deleted.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,1,c	insert 'an additional' before 'six' and replace 'CENS' with 'Appointments Committee'.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,3	omit

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,4	replace 'CENS' with 'Appointments Committee' and omit '(subject to the restriction imposed by subsection (3))'.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,4	before 'include' insert, 'may not already be a member of CENS, and must'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,5	replace 'CENS' with 'the Church Commissioners' (all 3 instances).
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	7,4,a	Clause 7 (4) (a) should be deleted.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,4,a	replace 'CENS' with 'the Church Commissioners.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	7,7	Query in relation to Clause 7.7 which states: CENS may not appoint a person under subsection (1)(c) without having obtained the advice of the Governance and Nominations Committee.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,7	omit.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	7,8	omit.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	8	There should be added to this clause provisions similar to those contained in the National Institutions Measure 1998 sections 2(3) and 2(6)
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	8	Section 8 – I believe that it would be appropriate for the framework described in Section 8 to also be laid before the General Synod for its information. This is somewhat different to the budget which needs to be laid before the Synod for approval.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,1	renumber (1)(b) to (1)(d)
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,1,a	insert after 'functions', 'as it pertains to grants, formula funding or other expenditures by CENS to other charitable object bodies under subsection (3)(a) and in accordance with subsection (4)(c)'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,1,b	add (1)(b) 'to determine from time to time the amount to pay from its general fund to CENS to enable the exercise of its functions as it pertains solely to the internal operational costs of CENS under subsection (3)(b), and'

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,1,c	Append: to determine, with the approval of Synod, what payments, if any, it will make directly to other charitable object bodies, including dioceses and parishes, without using CENS as an intermediary”
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,1,c	add (1)(c) ‘to determine from time to time, with the approval of Synod, the amount to pay, if any, directly to other charitable object bodies, including Parishes, without using CENS as an intermediary’
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,2	After “... each financial year” insert “following approval by Synod”
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	8,2	Add a new section requiring CENS, before putting forward to the Church Commissioners the framework referred to in this clause for a financial year, to have obtained the approval of General Synod for any changes from the prior year to its “policies and priorities” (Clause 8(2)(a)) and/or how it proposes to exercise its power to make grants (Clause 8(2)(b)).
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,2,a	After “... financial year” include “, which always must include the making of additional provision for the cure of souls in parishes where such assistance is most required as a top priority.”:
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	8,2,a	Substitute “plans” for “policies and priorities” in subsection 8(2)(a)
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	8,2,b	Substitute “plans” for “policies and priorities” in subsection 8(2)(b)
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,3,a	insert after ‘functions’, ‘as it pertains to grants, formula funding or other expenditures it makes to other charitable object bodies in accordance with subsection (4)(c)’

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,3,b	switch the order of (3)(b) and (3)(c) and renumber and omit 'and' from the newly numbered (3)(c) which was (3)(b) before the reordering and replace 'that amount' with 'those amounts'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,3,c	replace with "the amount of money CENS expects to require for that financial year to enable the exercise of its functions as it pertains to its internal operational costs such as staff, facilities, and overhead, and"
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,4,c	Subsection (4) – add (4)(c): 'CENS shall consider and determine how to apply or distribute such sums as have been made available by the Church Commissioners under subsection (1) above, but, aside from amount of money needed as specified in subsection (3)(b) above, those sums shall not be applied or distributed by the CENS for any purpose other than one for which the balance in the Church Commissioners' general fund was available immediately before the coming into force of this section and before the coming into force of section 1 of the Church of England (Miscellaneous Provisions) Measure 2018. In applying or distributing those sums CENS shall have particular regard to the requirements of section 67 of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners Act 1840 relating to the making of additional provision for the cure of souls in parishes where such assistance is most required. At all times, the amount of money needed as specified in subsection (3)(a) above must disproportionately adhere to this provision over any other purposes.'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,5	replace 'subsection' with 'subsections' and after '(1)(a)', insert ', (1)(b), and (1)(c)'c
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	8,5,a	Substitute "plans" for "priorities" in subsection 8(5)(a);

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
John Brydon	370	Norwich	8,5,b	<p>Payments by the Church Commissioners</p> <p>8. (5) (b) must have due regard to the principles set out by the House of Bishops or General Synod for encouraging and enabling the work and mission of the Church of England.</p> <p>Change or to and</p>
Jane Evans	326	Leeds	8,5,b	<p>Payments by the Church Commissioners</p> <p>8. (5) (b) must have due regard to the principles set out by the House of Bishops or General Synod for encouraging and enabling the work and mission of the Church of England.</p> <p>Change or to and</p>
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	8,5,b	Query relating to the phrase - principles set out by
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,5,b	Replace “or” by “and”: the House of Bishops must consult Synod meaningfully and not retrospectively.
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	8,5,b	Amend subsection 8(5)(b): 8(5)(b) must have due regard to proposals set out by the House of Bishops and General Synod for furthering the work and mission of the Church of England.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	8,5,b	<p>In Clause 8 (5) (b) delete ‘House of Bishops’.</p> <p>Alternatively, if the suggestion above is not accepted, replace with ‘both the House of Bishops and General Synod’.</p>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,5,b	replace ‘or’ with ‘and’; insert at the end, ‘, and’
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,5,c	add (5)(c) ‘must have due regard to subsection (4)(c) above



<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Marcus Walker	168	London	8,5,c	Insert new 8 (5) (c) must have particular regard to the requirements of section 67 of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners Act 1840 relating to the making of additional provision for the cure of souls in parishes where such assistance is most required.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,6	After "... CENS" insert " and Synod":
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,6	insert at the end, 'and the Synodical Scrutiny Committee'
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,7	After "... Church Commissioners" insert " and Synod"
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,7	insert at the end, 'and the Synodical Scrutiny Committee'
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	8,8	Report to be laid before Synod (Quinquennial Grants Review overseen by the Synodical Scrutiny Committee)
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	8,8	Synod's Scrutiny Committee must review the purposes, processes and consequences of CENS's grants making activities annually in summary and tri-annually in detail. It may seek and must be provided with in a timely fashion such clarification as it requires
Robert Zampetti	361	London	8,8	insert at the end, 'and, in particular, whether amounts received from the Church Commissioners have been applied in accordance with subsection (4)(c) above.'
Marcus Walker	168	London	9,4	9 (4) Delete "not" An order under this section which includes provision under subsection (3)(c) is not capable of being amended by the General Synod in a way which would vary— (a) the amount to be paid to CENS by the Church Commissioners under section 8, or (b) the proposed application or distribution of that amount.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	9,4,b	Should be deleted.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Marcus Walker	168	London	9,4,c	Should the previous amendment be passed, add new 9 (4) (c) No amendment under this section shall propose an increase in the amount paid to CENS by the Church Commissioners under section 8
Robert Zampetti	361	London	9,5	Add new subsection (5): 'The power conferred by section 9(1) shall cease upon the expiration of 12 months from the commencement of this measure.'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	10,5	Add new subsection (5): 'The power conferred by section 10(1) shall cease upon the expiration of 12 months from the commencement of this measure.'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	11,2	Insert at the end, ' and any such order must identify the function to be transferred and may contain such incidental, consequential and supplemental provisions as may be necessary or expedient for the purpose of giving full effect to the order, including provisions— (a) for the carrying on and completion by or under the authority of CENS of anything commenced by or under the authority of the Commissioners before the date on which the order takes effect; (b) for such adaptation of the statutory provisions relating to any such function transferred as may be necessary to enable it to be exercised by or on behalf of CENS; (c) for the substitution of CENS for the Commissioners in any instrument, contract or legal proceedings made or commenced before the date on which the order takes effect.  (3) Before making any such order which relates to the functions of the Church Commissioners under the Dioceses, Pastoral and Mission Measure 2007 or the Mission and Pastoral Measure 2011 the archbishops shall obtain the consent of the Prime Minister and the Church Commissioners.'

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	11,3	Remove or clarify 11(3)
Robert Zampetti	361	London	11,3	renumber as (4)
Marcus Walker	168	London	12	Clause 12 To add the Mission, Pastoral & Church Property Committee to the list of retained functions of the Church Commissioners
Robert Zampetti	361	London	12,2	§12 Subsection (2) – if sections (6) and (7) are omitted, then add – '(h) the functions currently exercised by its Mission, Pastoral & Church Property Committee'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	13,3	add ' other than where such property, rights or liabilities are enjoyed or incurred by the Church Commissioners'.
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	14	Add additional Para or Clause  If so requested by [Archbishops' Council, ChECS or the Offices of the Archbishops] immediately before this [Measure], CENS will be responsible for pension liabilities otherwise for the account of [Archbishops' Council, ChECS or the Offices of the Archbishops] to the Church of England Funded Pension Scheme, the Church Workers' Pension Fund or the Church Administrators Pension Fund.
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	14	We propose that - a) There is an obligation on the Board of CENS to define and monitor appropriate service standards for its services to other bodies, and b) There is a means by which CENS would compensate another Church body to which it is providing services in the event of a failure by CENS resulting in loss or damage to the party to which it provides services.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	14,1	omit 'supplementary'.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	15,5	If section 7 is omitted, then: substitute 'As a consequence of the amendment made by subsection (1), at such time as this provision takes effect, the deputy chair of the Mission, Pastoral and Church Property Committee shall assume the role of the chair and subsequently both the chair and deputy chair shall be elected annually by and from the members of the committee or appointed from among its members in such other manner as its terms of reference may specify.'
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	17,1	ABY must choose to serve on either CENS or the Board, but not both.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	17,2	An elected or appointed member of a National Institution may not serve as - (a) the Prolocutor of the Convocation of Canterbury or York, or (b) the Chair or Vice-Chair of the House of Laity of the General Synod.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	17,2	Delete "elected or" from the draft of Clause 17(2).
Andrew Presland	384	Peterborough	17,2	Delete Clause
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	17,3	Insert a new (4) and renumber accordingly: Notwithstanding subsection (3), no National Institution should have the power to remove a member elected by the Synod but should have the power to raise a motion in Synod to request a removal by a counted vote of the whole house.": if Synod elects a member to an NCI board, it must be responsible for their removal.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	17,3	omit the words 'present and voting'
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	17,4	Insert after "... any other National Institution", "for a period of five years"

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	17,4	substitute: 'Where a member of a National Institution ceases to be a member of it under subsection (3) above, the person ceases to be eligible to be – (a) appointed as a member of that or any other National Institution (b) elected as a member of that or any other National Institution unless elected by at least three-quarters of the relevant members of the General Synod present and voting'
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	17,4	Provision is also made in this clause to enable removal if the member “acts contrary to any code of conduct in place from time to time as adopted by the National Institution for members of the National Institution and its committees”.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	17,4	Revise Clause 17(4) to (a) make it applicable to both elected and appointed members and (b) specify the period (10 years?) of ineligibility for election or appointment.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	18	Refer Diversity Charters to the Governance & Nominations Committee and a duty for the G&N to advise the National Institutions on their Diversity Charters.
Marcus Walker	168	London	18	Delete clause 18
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	18,4	a) Amend the requirement to be consistent with the Charity Commission’s deadlines for filing reports – however this might not be welcomed by Synod as it would look like relaxing the timeline for reporting from AC/CC, or  b) Amend 21(1) and (2) so as not to override the requirements of 50(3) of the Pensions Measure 2018, and dealing with any consequential requirement in 18(4).
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	18,6	To this definition should be added “diversity of opinion

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Marcus Walker	168	London	18,7	Should the previous amendment not pass, new 18 (7) Diversity under this clause includes an obligation to ensure a diversity of political views and of church tradition.
Nick Land	437	York	19	I have ample experience both as an Executive Directive supposedly scrutinised by such a body and as a Chair of such a body seeking to hold a Board to account. As currently set up the scrutiny function is ridiculously weak and it will be easy for National Church Institutions to evade and ignore it. Suggested improvements To do this task the Synodical Scrutiny Committee requires the right to relevant information. They should have a right to all the papers and minutes of the bodies.
Nick Land	437	York	19	They should have the right to attend meetings as observers in order to evaluate how well the NCI boards are functioning.
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	19	Add Paragraph: It must have power not only to require attendance of members and staff but also require them to produce minutes and documents.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	19,2	I would like to propose that a third function is added, namely ' ( c ) the progress made by each National Institution towards achieving the objectives set out in its Diversity Charter'.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	19,2,b	at the end, add ' and, (c) the conduct of its members'
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	19,3	I would propose that there is a reciprocal duty imposed on each National Institution to co-operate with the Scrutiny Committee through invitations to their meetings and the supply of Reports, Minutes and other relevant information on a timely basis.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	19,3	Add a new subsection requiring the National Institutions to provide the Synodical Scrutiny Committee with copies of their meeting agendas, papers and minutes in a timely manner.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	19,3	Add a new subsection giving the Synodical Scrutiny Committee the power to require National Institution Committees to furnish it with such further information as it may request.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	19,5	Delete: The membership of the committee is to consist of— (a) the Chair of the House of Laity, (b) the Vice-Chair of the House of Laity, (c) the Prolocutor of the Province of Canterbury, (d) the Prolocutor of the Province of York, and
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	19,5	Add a new subsection specifying that, if one or more of the prolocutors or Chair and Vice-Chair of the House of Laity are elected to a National Institution, their place on the Synodical Scrutiny Committee is instead to be filled by a person elected by and from the corresponding House.
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	19,5	Space for ex-officio appointments to be made to the Synodical Scrutiny Committee should be made.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	19,5,e	at the end, add ' and, (f) two persons elected by and from the House of Clergy and the House of Laity taken together so as to form a single electorate who (i) do not hold any other office or appointed role within the General Synod. and (ii) are not members of any National Institution, and (iii) are not otherwise engaged in any leadership, representative, or official capacity beyond their elected membership.'

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	19,6	Delete clause and insert 'The chair of the committee to be elected by and from the membership of the committee, but so that the bishop elected under 5 ( ) above may not be the chair';
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	19,6	Append: “(f) two members of the House of Laity elected by that House who do not otherwise hold any leading roles (e.g. committee chairs).”
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	19,6	Add a new subsection specifying that, if the Chair of the House of Laity is elected to a National Institution, then the Vice-Chair of the House of Laity is to be chair of the Synodical Scrutiny Committee. If the Vice-Chair is also elected to a National Institution, then the Synodical Scrutiny Committee shall elect one of its elected lay members to serve as its chair.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	19,8	Annual Report should be laid before Synod
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	19,8	Robust wording around what is able to be reported from SSC meetings to Synod should be included
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	19,8,a	Add new clause 19(8A):  19(8A) The committee shall establish sub-committees to assist it to carry out its function. Sub-committees shall have membership comprising at least one member of the committee; and in addition to members of the committee, no more than four other members of General Synod appointed by the Appointments Committee.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	19,9	Include within General Synod’s Standing Orders that the Synodical Scrutiny Committee Chair is someone to whom questions may be addressed.



<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	19,10	<p>This is a wrecking subsection. There will be no point in having such a committee, which is most welcome in general, unless it has a sanction over the NCIs. I can understand the sensitivity over this issue but it comes down to a matter of trust.</p> <p>Crucially, if sanctions were to be available, then it would require the committee, and Synod more generally, to play an active, responsible part in the life of our church. A mechanism would have to be put in place to respond to its abuse but the responsibility being put onto those involved would mitigate against that situation arising. This is a most important issue that goes to the heart of the matter: do we trust each other and how do we manifest it? We must learn to trust Synod and it must respond accordingly.</p>
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	19,10	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Provision made in Standing Orders under this section:</p> <p>(a) may not have the effect of invalidating anything done by a National Institution or restricting the exercise of its functions; and</p> <p>(b) may not apply to the Church of England Pensions Board's functions under the Pensions Measure 2018.</p>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	19,11	<p>Insert new paragraph:</p> <p>"The committee may call for any information from any NCI or church body it sees fit, which will be provided expeditiously, adhering to all appropriate confidentiality and data privacy procedures."</p>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	19,11	<p>Add the following subsection:</p> <p>The committee may request information and summon members from any National Institution, including CENS, and such requests are to be answered as completely and as timely as is reasonable, in accordance with all appropriate confidentiality and data privacy procedures' or alternatively:</p> <p>The committee is empowered to request any information and summon any member from National Institutions, including CENS, necessary for the execution of its oversight functions. Such requests must be complied with fully and within a reasonable timeframe, as determined by [specific criteria</p>

Proposer	Ref	Dioceses	Clause	Proposed Amendment
				or reference to a governing policy]. All information provided to the committee is subject to the protections outlined in [specific data protection laws or internal confidentiality policies]. The procedure for summoning members shall be as follows: [outline of the process].’
Robert Zampetti	361	London	19,12	<p>The Synodical Scrutiny Committee, or its Committee of Inquiry as established under section 20, is authorized to investigate allegations of misconduct among members of National Institutions, including but not limited to:</p> <p>(a) acts of dishonesty or breaches of integrity; and  (b) participation in decisions or activities where the member has an actual or potential conflict of interest, and upon concluding an investigation, the committee shall present its findings and recommend sanctions to the General Synod, which may include proposing the removal of the individual from their position as a member or committee member of a National Institution. Such sanctions shall only apply if approved by the General Synod and, if so applied, section 17(4) shall apply to this section and be construed accordingly.’</p>
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	20	Either a section is added to Clause 20, or an addition is made to Standing Orders, specifying that, once formed, a Committee of Inquiry’s members shall continue to serve on the Committee even if they subsequently vacate the position by which they were qualified to serve on it.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	20	Either a section is added to Clause 20, or an addition is made to Standing Orders, specifying that, in the event of a position on a Committee of Inquiry falling vacant, the Synodical Scrutiny Committee and the Appointments Committee shall agree whether, and how, the vacancy is to be filled
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	20,1	Add at the end: “or that of the Church of England

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,1	add at the end: 'or has applied funds for a purpose not permitted by its charitable objects or otherwise in contravention of a certificate as to the application of funds given under this Measure.'
Debrah McIssac	404	Salisbury	20,1	OMIT the word 'grave' in line 3.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	20,2	The resolution may be moved by any member of the Synodical Scrutiny Committee, not just the chair – as agreed by the Committee;
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,2	substitute: '(2) A motion for a resolution under this section may be moved by the chair of the Synodical Scrutiny Committee: (a) on the committee's own initiative; or (b) must be moved upon receipt of a request from at least 60 members of the Synod.'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	20,2	Whilst this is a very good concept in principle, I think that it could benefit from slight adjustments to improve it. In 20 (2) the presence of 'may' in the introduction suggests that it is in the gift of the Chair of the House of Laity to decide if such a resolution should be moved. This might be reworded to clarify that on receipt of the requisite numbers of Synod requesting it, the Chair is obligated to move a resolution.
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	20,2	The Committee of Inquiry - wording in section 20 (2) should be changed from 'the chair may do so either' to replace the word may with 'will',
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	20,2,b	I feel that the bar for Synod to initiate such a motion is too high at 60 members, and would suggest that this should be the same 25 members rule that is in place for debating amendments, voting by Houses, etc.
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	20,2,b	In section 20 (2) (B), the threshold of sixty members is too low.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	20,4	After "... right to speak" insert "but not to vote"

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,4	insert after 'Houses': ' under standing orders that allow amendments to be made'
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	20,5	Delete 'three quarters' and replace with '60%'; can it also be made clear that this is to be a 'counted vote of the whole Synod', not by a show of hands or by Houses;
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	20,5	Reduce the 75% threshold
Nick Land	437	York	20,5	Possible solutions include allowing the SSC to directly establish a committee of enquiry where there are urgent concerns without having to wait for the next general synod and time to be found on the agenda to consider the issue. This could perhaps require 4/5 (80%) members of the SSC to agree in order to reduce the risk of partisan misuse.  In any event the % required by GS to establish a Committee of Enquiry should be reduced to 2/3 (from the currently proposed 80%).
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,5	replace 'three-quarters' with 'two-thirds'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	20,5	I also consider that the bar for the motion to pass is too high at 'three-quarters', and whilst I recognise that a slightly higher hurdle than a straight 50% might be desirable, I would propose a 2/3 limit across the whole of the Synod.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	20,5	I would also like to suggest that such a motion should be a counted vote of the whole Synod, and is designated a procedural vote such that a vote by Houses is not permitted.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	20,5	General Synod's Standing Orders covering the Committee of Inquiry should require a two-thirds vote in favour of a motion calling for such a Committee to be formed, and the vote only taken as one of the whole Synod.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Sam Wilson	282	Chester	20,5	Proposed Amendment  A resolution under this section is carried only if at least two-thirds of the members of Synod present and voting have voted in favour.
Debrah McIssac	405	Salisbury	20,5	OMIT 'three-quarters' and substitute '60%'
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	20,6	Insert a new (6) and renumber accordingly: The Committee of Inquiry should have funds allocated by the Church Commissioners to pay for the support of at least 2 FTE resources to be seconded from the staff of CENS or hired externally."
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,6	Alternatively: substitute: '(6) If a resolution is carried, the Committee of Inquiry is convened with the following membership: (a) three members elected by and from the Synodical Scrutiny Committee, and (b) persons appointed by the Appointments Committee, comprising: (i) two members without specific qualifying conditions, (ii) one barrister in England and Wales who has been qualified and practised as such for at least the preceding seven years, appointed on terms agreed with the Chair of the Synodical Scrutiny Committee and remunerated by the National Institution in question, and (iii) at least one person selected to be seconded from the staff of CENS and/or hired externally, remunerated from funds budgeted annually for this purpose in accordance with section 8 of this Measure.'
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,6,a	replace 'each member of' with 'three members elected by and from'
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	20,6,a	Revise Clause 20(6)(a) to allow the Synodical Scrutiny Committee to decide which of its members (with a minimum of, say, three) should serve on the Committee of Inquiry.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	20,6,b	Clause 20 (6) (b) – if my amendment at 23 is accepted (i.e. that there are a total of 7 members of the Scrutiny Committee in total) than I would propose that the words ‘appointed by the Appointments Committee’ are replaced by ‘elected by and from the Houses of Clergy and Laity, voting by House, two from each House’. If the number of the Scrutiny Committee remains at 5, then I would propose that the whole sub-clause is deleted and replaced with the words – ‘(b) 6 persons elected by and from the Houses of Clergy and Laity, voting by Houses, 3 from each House’;
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,6,b	replace ‘four’ with ‘two’ and at the end add ‘ and,  (c) a barrister in England or Wales who has been qualified and practised as such for at least the preceding seven years, who is appointed by the Appointments Committee and remunerated by the National Institution in question on the terms agreed with such barrister by the Chair of the Synod Scrutiny Committee, and  (d) at least one person selected by the Appointments Committee to be seconded from the staff of CENS and/or hired externally to be remunerated from funds budgeted annually for this purpose in accordance with section 8 of this Measure’
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	20,7	That at some point in the process the Report of the Committee of Inquiry and the response from the National Institution is laid before Synod, as with other reports; I am content to discuss at greater length with the Revision Committee when this might best be done – e.g. Clause 21 (2);
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	20,9	Replace “six months” by “expeditiously and, in any event, in less than 40 working days” :

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Sam Wilson	283	Chester	20,9	<p>Proposed Amendment</p> <p>The Synodical Scrutiny Committee must give a copy of the report to the National Institution concerned; and that Institution must, within six months of receiving the copy of that report, publish its own report in response. The report of the Synodical Scrutiny Committee must also be published at the same time.</p>
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	20,11	<p>Amend</p> <p>Provision made under this section does not, and provisions made in Standing Orders under this section:</p> <p>(a) may not have the effect of invalidating anything done by a National Institution or restricting the exercise of its functions; and</p> <p>(b) may not apply to the Church of England Pensions Board's functions under the Pensions Measure 2018.</p>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	20,12	<p>The Synodical Scrutiny Committee, or its Committee of Inquiry as established under section 20, is authorized to investigate allegations of misconduct among members of National Institutions, including but not limited to:</p> <p>(a) acts of dishonesty or breaches of integrity; and</p> <p>(b) participation in decisions or activities where the member has an actual or potential conflict of interest.</p> <p>and upon concluding an investigation, the committee shall present its findings and recommend sanctions to the General Synod, which may include proposing the removal of the individual from their position as a member or committee member of a National Institution. Such sanctions shall only apply if approved by the General Synod and, if so applied, section 17(4) shall apply to this section and be construed accordingly.'</p>
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	21	<p>A provision should be added requiring a report of CENS to be laid before parliament</p>

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Andrew Presland	384	Peterborough	21	Add Clause As a Government statistician by day, I'd be in favour of the legislation enshrining a culture of trust and transparency within the Church by including a commitment to transparency in producing and publishing statistics of attendance, membership, finance and other measurable aspects of the life of the church, by adding an extra sub-clause to that effect within clause 21 on accounts, reports etc.
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	21,1	a) Amend the requirement to be consistent with the Charity Commission's deadlines for filing reports – however this might not be welcomed by Synod as it would look like relaxing the timeline for reporting from AC/CC, or  b) Amend 21(1) and (2) so as not to override the requirements of 50(3) of the Pensions Measure 2018, and dealing with any consequential requirement in 18(4).
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	21,2	a) Amend the requirement to be consistent with the Charity Commission's deadlines for filing reports – however this might not be welcomed by Synod as it would look like relaxing the timeline for reporting from AC/CC, or  b) Amend 21(1) and (2) so as not to override the requirements of 50(3) of the Pensions Measure 2018, and dealing with any consequential requirement in 18(4).
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	21,3	We need to make clear that such a request may be made by a simple majority on a counted vote of the whole Synod;
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	21,5	Insert: “(5) Synod will have the ability to qualify its acceptance of any matter brought before it by amending a motion to accept the same
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	23	A fixed end date for the measure's order powers
Paul Benfield	66	Blackburn	Sch1,1	Add paragraph - Chair as Ex officio member of General Synod



<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,1	At the end add 'voting members'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,1	Amend 15 to 13
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	Only one of the ABC or ABY becomes a Trustee of CENS; they can chose between themselves and swap from time to time (but not meeting to meeting); in the event of the unavailability of the one, the other one may deputise;
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	Delete Clause 1 (2)(a)
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	Removal of the Archbishops of York and Canterbury from sitting on CENS
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	Delete Clause 1 (2)(b)
Paul Waddell	418	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	An additional member from all three houses (B,C &L), and a reduction by one of the number of additional members
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,2	Revise paragraph 1(2)(d) and (e) so that, in both cases, three persons are to be elected, with at least one from each province.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	In each case the number two be increased to three, with the qualification that at least one should come each Province;
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,1,2	I propose that the number six is reduced to five;
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,2	Revise the number of appointees allowed for in paragraph 1(2)(g) to "no more than four".

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,1,2	add sub-paragraph: '(h) the elected chair of the Governance and Nominations Committee as a non-voting member, if the elected chair is not already otherwise a voting member of CENS.'
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	Sch1,1,2	Remove Archbishops
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,1,3	I propose that the first appointment of the new chair is made by the Appointments Committee of General Synod following an open recruitment process; and confirmed by General Synod – Section 1 (7);
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,1,3	substitute 'The members shall elect a chair from among their number.'
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,3	In Clause 1 (3) – Amend to include the phrase 'after consultation with the Appointments Committee' at the end.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,3	Revise paragraph 1(3) so as to require the archbishops to consult with the Appointments Committee.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,1,4	I propose that the first appointments under 1 (2) (g) are made by the Appointments Committee and that Section 1 (8) is amended consequentially;
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,4	In Clause 1 (4) – Replace 'Archbishops of Canterbury and York acting jointly' with 'Appointments Committee'
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,4	Revise paragraph 1(4) so as to require the archbishops to consult with the Appointments Committee.
Sean Doherty	450	Universities &TEIs	Sch1,1,5	Recruitment of the Independent Chair should not involve the outgoing chair.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,5	Revise paragraph 1(5) so that the future appointments are made on the joint recommendation of the Appointments Committee and the Governance and Nominations Committee.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,6	In Clause 1 (6) Remove “(but not either of the Archbishops)”
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,6	Proposal 26: revise paragraph 1(6) to constrain the deputy chair appointment to one of the persons elected under paragraphs 1(2)(c), (d) or (e).
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	Sch1,1,7	Add new paragraph 1(7A) to Schedule 1:  1(7A) An appointment under sub-paragraph (2)(g) takes effect only if the Scrutiny Committee has approved the appointment.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	Sch1,1,7	Insert at the end: “following prior, adequate consultation.”
Nick Land	437	York	Sch1,1,7	The Chair of CENS should be a Lay Person.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,1,7	Revise paragraph 1(7) so that no appointments under paragraph 1(2)(f) or (g) can take effect without Synod’s approval.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,1,8	In Clause 1 (8) – Replace ‘the Archbishops must’ with ‘the Appointments Committee must’
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,1,9	substitute: ‘The First Church Estates Commissioner has, by virtue of that office, the right to attend meetings of the members of CENS as a non-voting member and may send a delegate.’

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Jane Evans	326	Leeds	Sch1,1,10	Query re. replacement on CENS of the Third Church Estates Commissioner by the MPAC Chair
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,1,10	omit
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,2	Having read Rule 83 (2) of the CRRs it should be made explicit here that the Chair must be a lay person i.e. not in holy orders;
Andrew Presland	384	Peterborough	Sch1,2	Chair must be a lay person
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1,2	Add a requirement to paragraph 2(1) that the appointee must be lay.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,2,3	This will need to be amended consequentially if the amendments above are accepted; I propose that the word 'elected' is inserted before 'members' in line 14; I don't think the reference to '2(3)' in the second line is correct and/or makes sense – please check.
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,2,4	This will need to be amended consequentially if the amendments above are accepted; I propose that the word 'elected' is inserted before 'members' in line 14; I don't think the reference to '2(3)' in the second line is correct and/or makes sense – please check;
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	Sch1,2	Amend Section 2 (3) and refer to section 17 (2)?
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,2,4	Section 2 (4) – delete the words "( c ) to (g)"; to comply with what it states on page 16 of GS2360P (i.e. no reference to the ABs here)

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Nick Land	437	York	Sch1,2	<p>Currently the overall membership of CENS can have a majority of its members being ordained with 2 Archbishops, 2 Bishops, 2 Clergy plus up to 2 of the remaining 7 places. The committee should have an absolute majority of its members as being Lay (not a majority excluding the Archbishops).</p> <p>Therefore only one of the Archbishops should be voting members of CENS (the other could have attendance/speaking rights if required). The voting membership could rotate between them.</p>
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,3	<p>The Measure prescribes term limits of 3 years with a maximum of 3 consecutive terms making 9 years in total; I recognise that this is common in many charities; but in my experience 3 years is not very long to make an impact; I would therefore propose that the pattern revert to the current system of terms of 5 years with a maximum of two, making 10 in all;</p>
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,3	<p>I believe that the three-year term of office is a good idea, and would resist any amendments to move it to a five-year term. However, should an amendment to a five-year term be put forward and considered, I would encourage consideration of 'stepped' terms initially, so that there is not the situation where half the committee is refreshed at the same time, especially when it is new-ish.</p>
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	Sch1,3	<p>Amend paragraphs 3(1):</p> <p>(1) Each member of CENS elected under paragraph 1(2)(c) to (e) is to hold office for a period not exceeding three years; and each election is to be conducted in accordance with the Standing Orders of the General Synod.</p>

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	Sch1,3	Proposed revisions: amend paragraphs 3 (2):  (2) Each member of CENS appointed under paragraph 1(2)(f) to (g) is to hold office for such period not exceeding three years as is specified in the instrument of appointment.
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	Sch1,6	Insert: “(8) Any committee of CENS or a sub-committee of it must receive prior approval of Synod before its establishment.”:
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,7	I would propose that the CEO must be able to fulfil the eligibility criteria of the Chair (section 2 (1)) or of the appointees (Section 2 (2));
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,7	Section 7 – I would propose that the CEO must be a lay person – see item 36 above
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,7	I fully agree with the separation of the CENS CEO and Secretary General of the General Synod / Secretary of the House of Bishops and would very strongly resist any amendments which might seek to move back on this very good principle of independence.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,7	substitute: ‘The chief executive officer shall formally report to the chair of CENS while being accountable to and serving the interests of all members of CENS.’
Adrian Greenwood	415	Southwark	Sch1,7,4	Section 7 (4) – I would propose that the first appointment of CEO is made by the Appointments Committee following an open recruitment process; as also in point 34 above.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	Sch1,7	Insert The Chief Executive shall not hold any appointment or license (remunerated or not), which is in the gift of either archbishop or a member of the House of Bishops.
Rebecca Chapman	414	Southwark	Sch1,10	In Clause 10 (1) – Amend eight to seven
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,10	omit the words ‘or a defect in a member’s appointment’.
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,10	add at the end: ‘provided such procedures have been made available to the Synodical Scrutiny Committee for review.’
Tim Fleming	394	St Albans	Sch1,11	Question re - independent trustee
Ian Boothroyd	422	Southwell and Nottingham	Sch1,11	Clarify remuneration of Chair
Robert Zampetti	361	London	Sch1,11	I need to understand more about the possible compensation that would be needed for the loss of office of a chair who does not otherwise receive any remuneration – unless I’ve misunderstood something.
Nigel Bacon	342	Lincoln	Sch1	Add a paragraph to Schedule 1 placing a duty on CENS to consult with the Synodical Scrutiny Committee in all instances of policy making where it is unclear whether Synod’s approval is either required or, though not required, desirable.

<b>Proposer</b>	<b>Ref</b>	<b>Dioceses</b>	<b>Clause</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Ian Johnston	387	Portsmouth	Other	Question about the rationale of CENS being a charity. The downside of CENS being a charity is that its oversight will be bedevilled by its silo nature: its trustees, in extremis, do not have to take any regard of an external party such as its overseer. The Church Charity is discussed in Annex B. Of various options to address this issue of interconnectedness, this would be the most emphatic and straightforward.
Roy Faulkner	333	Leicester	Other	The reports should be revisited - general misgivings to the soundness of GS2307 and GS2360
Jonathan Baird	400	Salisbury	Other	None proposed
Pensions Board	N/A	N/A	Other	<p>Additional Charitable Objects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To support clergy [and church workers] with their retirement plans</li> <li>- To provide occupational pension schemes and related services</li> <li>- The terms of the General Purposes Fund may also need revisiting.</li> </ul>